

Computational Geometry · **Lecture** Introduction & Convex Hulls

INSTITUT FÜR THEORETISCHE INFORMATIK · FAKULTÄT FÜR INFORMATIK

Tamara Mchedlidze · Darren Strash 19.10.2015



1 Dr. Tamara Mchedlidze · Dr. Darren Strash · Computational Geometry Lecture

AlgoGeom-Team



Lecturers



- Tamara Mchedlidze
- mched@iti.uka.de
- Room 307
- Office hours: by appointment



- Darren Strash
- strash@kit.edu
- Room 206
- Office hours: by appointment

Exercise Leader



- Benjamin Niedermann
- benjamin.niedermann@kit.edu
- Room 309
- Office hours: by appointment

Schedule

- Lecture: Mon. 15:45 17:15, SR 301
- Exercises: Wed. 15:45 17:15, SR 301 (starting Oct. 28)

Organization



Website

http://i11www.iti.kit.edu/teaching/winter2015/compgeom/

- Course Information
- Lecture Slides
- Exercises
- Additional Material

Computational Geometry in Computer Science Master's Studies



Organization



Exercises

- Every second Wednesday starting 28.10
- Exercise problems posted at least one week before an exercise session.
- Rinforce lecture material, help prepare for exam.

What will the exercises involve?

- Weekly work for about 45–60 minutes
- Active participation in exercises is expected
- Volunteers will work problems on the board
- Can hand in exercises for feedback
- Variations will be announced
 - Exercise on 16.12 instead of 23.12

Computational Geometry



Objectives: At the end of the course you will be able to...

- explain concepts, structures, and problem definitions
- understand the discussed algorithms, and explain and analyze them
- select and adapt appropriate algorithms and data structures
- analyze new geometric problems and develop efficient solutions

Prior Knowledge: Algorithms and Elementary Geometry

Course Time Breakdown:	5 LP = 150 h
Time in lectures and exercise sessions	ca. 35h
 Preparation and review 	ca. 25h
 Working on exercises 	ca. 20h
 Project work 	ca. 40h
 Exam preparation 	ca. 30h

Modules and Grading

Master's in Computer Science

- Computational Geometry (IN4INAG)
- Algorithm Engineering & Applications (IN4INAEA)
- Design and Analysis of Algorithms (IN4INDAA)
- Computer Graphics Algorithms (IN4INACG)

Test Modalities

More on this in 1–2 weeks

- Semester-long project in small teams (application-driven geometric algorithms)
 - \rightarrow 20% of grade

• One oral examination (about 20 minutes) \rightarrow 80% of grade





|5 LP|

[5 LP]

5 LP

3 LP

Class Materials







M. de Berg, O. Cheong, M. van Kreveld, M. Overmars: Computational Geometry: Algorithms and Applications Springer, 3rd Edition, 2008

PDF available on springerlink.com!

Rolf Klein: Algorithmische Geometrie Springer, 2nd Edition, 2005

	CMSC 754	
	Computational Geome	try ¹
	David M. Mount Department of Computer Scier University of Maryland Spring 2012	100
Capatala, David M. Maam, 202 quest/to Envid Meant for the owner retrain from some for educational po-	Dept. of Computer Asiance, University of Maryland, Col OMIC 754, Computational Geometry, or the University of sponse and without for its heaving parentl, provided that the	hge Paik, MD, 2010. These balant networks Maryhad. Particulate to sat, e.gs, coddy, and cogyright solice agrees in all orpics.

David Mount: Computational Geometry Lecture Notes CMSC 754, U. Maryland, 2012

http://www.cs.umd.edu/class/spring2012/cmsc754/Lects/cmsc754-lects.pdf

Both books are available in the library!

What is Computational Geometry?





Algorithmische Geometrie

Computational geometry is a branch of computer science that deals with algorithmic solutions to geometric problems. A central problem is the storage and processing of geometric data...such as points, lines, circles, polygons...

Where is Computational Geometry Used?

- Computer Graphics and Image Processing
- Visualization
- Geographic Information Systems (GIS)
- Robotics
- • •

Central Themes

- Geometric algorithms and data structures
- Discrete and combinatorial geometric problems



It's a hot 42°C summer day in Karlsruhe. Suppose you know the location of every ice cream shop in the city. How can you determine the closest ice cream shop for any location on a map?



The solution is a *division* of \mathbb{R}^2 , called a **Voronoi Diagram**. Many applications, including: site planning, nearest-neighbor finding, robot motion planning, radio cells ...



Now it is 50°C in Karlsruhe. We want to send a robot to buy an ice cream cone. How can the robot reach the destination without passing through houses, park benches, and trees?



Motion planning problem in robotics:

Given a set of obstacles with a start and destination point, find a collision-free shortest route (e.g., using the **visibility graph)**.



Maps in geographic information systems consist of several levels (e.g., roads, water, borders, etc.). When superimposing several layers, what are the intersection points?

One example is to to view all roads and rivers as a set of links and ask for the bridges. For these, you have to find all intersections between the two layers.



Testing all edge pairs is slow. How can you quickly find all intersections?



Given a map and a query point q (e.g., a mouse click), determine the country containing q.



We want a fast data structure for answering point queries.



A navigation system should display a current map. How can we effectively choose the data to display?



Evaluating each map feature is unrealistic.

We want a fast data structure for answering range queries

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

Topics

We will cover the following topics:

- Convex Hulls
- Line Segment Intersection
- Polygon Triangulation
- Geometric Linear Programming
- Data Structures for Range Queries
- Data Structure for Point Location Queries
- Voronoi Diagrams and Delaunay Triangulation
- Duality of Points and Lines
- Quadtrees
- Well-Separated Pair Decompositions
- Visibility Graphs

••





Mixing Ratios





Definition of Convex Hull



Def: A region $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ is called **convex**, when for two points $p, q \in S$ then line $\overline{pq} \in S$. The **convex hull** CH(S) of S is the smallest convex region containing S.

C

 $C \supseteq S \colon C$ convex

In physics:

- put a large rubber band around all points
- and let it go!
- unfortunately, does not help algorithmically

In mathematics:

- define CH(S) =
- does not help :-(



Algorithmic Approach

Lemma:

For a set of points $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, CH(P) is a convex polygon that contains P and whose vertices are in P.



Input: A set of points $P = \{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$

Output: List of vertices of CH(P) in clockwise order

Observation:

(p,q) is an edge of $CH(P) \Leftrightarrow \mathsf{each}\ \mathsf{point}\ r \in P \setminus \{p,q\}$

- strictly right of the oriented line \overrightarrow{pq} or
- on the line segment \overline{pq}



19 Dr. Tamara Mchedlidze · Dr. Darren Strash · Computational Geometry Lecture





Running Time Analysis





return L

Amortized Analysis

- Each point is inserted into *L* exactly once
- A point in L is removed at most once from L
- \Rightarrow Running time of the **for** loop including the **while** loop is O(n)

Theorem 1: The convex hull of n points in the plane can be computed in $O(n \log n)$ time. \rightarrow *Graham's Scan*.

Alternative Approach: Gift Wrapping



Idea: Begin with a point p_1 of CH(P), then find the next edge of CH(P) in clockwise order.

GiftWrapping(P)

$$\begin{array}{l} p_1 = (x_1, y_1) \leftarrow \text{rightmost point in } P; \ p_0 \leftarrow (x_1, \infty); \ j \leftarrow 1 \\ \text{while true do} & O(h) \\ \left\lfloor \begin{array}{l} p_{j+1} \leftarrow \arg \max\{\angle p_{j-1}, p_j, q \mid q \in P \setminus \{p_{j-1}, p_j\}\} \\ \text{if } p_{j+1} = p_1 \text{ then break else } j \leftarrow j+1 \end{array} \right\} O(n) & O(n \cdot h) \\ \text{return } (p_1, \dots, p_{j+1}) \end{array}$$

Theorem 2: The convex hull CH(P) of n points P in \mathbb{R}^2 can be computed in $O(n \cdot h)$ time using *Gift Wrapping* (also called *Jarvis' March*), where h = |CH(P)|.

 \rightarrow more on that in the exercises!

Comparison



Which algorithm is better?

- Graham's Scan: $O(n \log n)$ time
- Jarvis' March: $O(n \cdot h)$ time

It depends on how large CH(P) is!

Idea: Combine the two approaches into an optimal algorithm!



Suppose we know h:
ChanHull(P,h)
Divide P into sets
$$P_i$$
 with $\leq h$ nodes
for i from 1 to $\lceil n/h \rceil$ do
 \lfloor Compute with GrahamScan $CH(P_i)$ GrahamScan
 $p_1 = (x_1, y_1) \leftarrow$ rightmost point in P
 $p_0 \leftarrow (x_1, \infty)$
for $j = 1$ to h do
 $\lfloor q_i \leftarrow \arg \max\{ \angle p_{j-1}p_j q \mid q \in P_i \setminus \{p_{j-1}, p_j\} \}$
 $p_{j+1} \leftarrow \arg \max\{ \angle p_{j-1}p_j q \mid q \in \{q_1, \dots, q_{\lceil n/h \rceil}\} \}$
return (p_1, \dots, p_h)







GrahamScan



 $26 \quad {\sf Dr. Tamara \ Mchedlidze \ \cdot \ Dr. \ Darren \ Strash \ \cdot \ Computational \ Geometry \ Lecture}$

Introduction & Convex Hulls





GrahamScan

n = 16

 $26 \quad {\sf Dr. Tamara \ Mchedlidze \ \cdot \ Dr. \ Darren \ Strash \ \cdot \ Computational \ Geometry \ Lecture}$

Gift Wrapping

Introduction & Convex Hulls

Chan's Algorithm

Suppose we know h: ChanHull(P,h)Divide P into sets P_i with $\leq h$ nodes $\mathcal{O}(n \log h)$ for *i* from 1 to $\lceil n/h \rceil$ do $\mathcal{O}(n/h)$ $\mathcal{O}(h \log h)$ Compute with GrahamScan $CH(P_i)$ $p_1 = (x_1, y_1) \leftarrow \text{rightmost point in } P$ $p_0 \leftarrow (x_1, \infty)$ for j = 1 to h do $\mathcal{O}(h) \cdot \mathcal{O}(n/h) = \mathcal{O}(n)$ $\mathcal{O}(n \log h)$ $\mathcal{O}(\log h) \to \mathsf{Exercise}!$ for i = 1 to $\lceil n/h \rceil$ do $q_i \leftarrow \arg \max\{ \angle p_{j-1} p_j q \mid q \in P_i \setminus \{p_{j-1}, p_j\} \}$ $p_{j+1} \leftarrow \arg \max\{ \angle p_{j-1} p_j q \mid q \in \{q_1, \dots, q_{\lceil n/h \rceil}\} \}$ return (p_1,\ldots,p_h)





But in general *h* is unknown!

ChanHull(P,m) ${ O(m\log m) \choose O(m\log m) }$ Divide P into sets P_i with $\leq m$ nodes for *i* from 1 to $\lceil n/m \rceil$ do Compute with GrahamScan $CH(P_i)$ $p_1 = (x_1, y_1) \leftarrow \text{rightmost point in } P$ $p_0 \leftarrow (x_1, \infty)$ $\begin{array}{ccc} p_{0} & & (x_{1}, \infty) \\ \hline \mathbf{for} \ j = 1 \ \mathbf{to} \ m \ \mathbf{do} & \mathcal{O}(m) \cdot \mathcal{O}(n/m) = \mathcal{O}(n) \\ \hline \mathbf{for} \ i = 1 \ \mathbf{to} \ \lceil n/m \rceil \ \mathbf{do} & \mathcal{O}(\log m) \\ \hline q_{i} \leftarrow \arg \max\{\angle p_{j-1}p_{j}q \mid q \in P_{i} \setminus \{p_{j-1}, p_{j}\}\} \end{array}$ $p_{j+1} \leftarrow \arg \max\{ \angle p_{j-1} p_j q \mid q \in \{q_1, \dots, q_{\lceil n/m \rceil}\} \}$ if $p_{j+1} = p_1$ then return $(p_1, ..., p_{j+1})$ return failure Total: $\mathcal{O}(n \log m)$



What to do with m?

$\mathsf{FullChanHull}(P)$

for
$$t = 0, 1, 2, ...$$
 do
 $m = \leftarrow \min\{n, 2^{2^t}\}$
result \leftarrow ChanHull (P, m) $O(n \log m) =$
if result \neq failure then break $O(n \log 2^{2^t})$
return result

Running time:

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\lceil \log \log h \rceil} \mathcal{O}(n \log 2^{2^t}) = \mathcal{O}(n) \sum_{t=0}^{\lceil \log \log h \rceil} \mathcal{O}(2^t)$$

$$\leq \mathcal{O}(n) \cdot \mathcal{O}(2^{\log \log h}) = \mathcal{O}(n) \cdot \mathcal{O}(\log h)$$

$$= \mathcal{O}(n \log h)$$



What to do with m?

FullChanHull(P)
for
$$t = 0, 1, 2, ...$$
 do
 $m = \leftarrow \min\{n, 2^{2^t}\}$
result \leftarrow ChanHull(P, m)
if result \neq failure then break

return result

Theorem 3: The convex hull CH(P) of n points P in \mathbb{R}^2 can be computed in $O(n \log h)$ time with Chan's Algorithm, where h = |CH(P)|.

Discussion



Is it possible to compute faster than $O(n \log n)$ or $O(n \log h)$ time?

Generally not! An algorithm to compute the convex hull can also sort (exercise!) \Rightarrow lower bound $\Omega(n \log n)$.

What happens in Graham's Scan when sorting *P* is not unique?

Use lexicographic order!

What happens with collinear points in CH(P)?

Graham: Forms no right turn, so an interior point is deleted. Jarvis: Choose farthest point

What about the robustness of the algorithms?

- Regarding robustness: imprecision of floating-point arithmetic
- FirstConvexHull possibly produces a valid polygon
- Graham and Jarvis always provide a polygon, but it may have minor defects

Designing Geometric Algorithms–Guidelines



- 1.) Eliminate degenerate cases (\rightarrow general position)
 - unique x-coordinates
 - no three collinear points

••••

- 2.) Adjust degenerate inputs
 - integrate into existing solutions
 (e.g., compute lexicographic order if x-coordinates are not unique)
 - may require special treament
- 3.) Implementation
 - primitive operations (available in libraries?)
 - robustness