

Algorithms for graph visualization

Divide and Conquer - Trees and Series-Parallel Graphs

WINTER SEMESTER 2014/2015

Tamara Mchedlidze – Martin Nöllenburg













A baloon drawing has the following properties:

- All the children of the same parent lie on circle centered at their parent
- The drawing is planar
- The further an edge from the root is, the shorter it becomes



All subtrees at the same depth have the same size.

Subtrees may have different size, the tree is ordered.

Subtrees may have different size, the tree is unordered. Drawn by Lin& Yen Algorithm.





A baloon drawing has the following properties:

- All the children of the same parent lie on circle centered at their parent
- The drawing is planar
- The further an edge from the root is, the shorter it becomes



All subtrees at the same depth have the same size.

Subtrees may have different size, the tree is ordered.

Subtrees may have different size, the tree is unordered. Drawn by Lin& Yen Algorithm.









Aesthetics:

- Aspect ratio = $\frac{\text{largest angle}}{\text{smallest angle}}$
- Angular resolution = min{angle between two adjacent edges}

Question: Can we find a baloon drawing with max angular resolution and min aspect ratio in an unordered tree? (Algorithm by Lin & Yen)





Aesthetics:

- Aspect ratio = $\frac{\text{largest angle}}{\text{smallest angle}}$
- Angular resolution = min{angle between two adjacent edges}

Question: Can we find a baloon drawing with max angular resolution and min aspect ratio in an unordered tree? (Algorithm by Lin & Yen)

We investigate drawing with even angles (drawing with uneven angles might have a better area)



Algorithmen zur Visualisierung von Graphen Tamara Mchedlidze





Aesthetics:

- Aspect ratio = $\frac{\text{largest angle}}{\text{smallest angle}}$
- Angular resolution = min{angle between two adjacent edges}

Question: Can we find a baloon drawing with max angular resolution and min aspect ratio in an unordered tree? (Algorithm by Lin & Yen)

- We investigate drawing with even angles (drawing with uneven angles might have a better area)
- An arrangement of the subtree at a level can be describeed by a permutation σ = {1, 4, 2, 3}
- θ_i angle of the wedge containing the subtree T_i







Assume we are given the radii r_i of the subtrees T_i . How to determine θ_i - angle of the wedge containing the circle r_i ?







Assume we are given the radii r_i of the subtrees T_i . How to determine θ_i - angle of the wedge containing the circle r_i ?

• Let $C \approx 2 \sum r_i$







Assume we are given the radii r_i of the subtrees T_i . How to determine θ_i - angle of the wedge containing the circle r_i ?

• Let $C \approx 2 \sum r_i$

• Let $r_{\max} = \max\{r_i\}$





Algorithmen zur Visualisierung von Graphen Tamara Mchedlidze







Assume we are given the radii r_i of the subtrees T_i . How to determine θ_i - angle of the wedge containing the circle r_i ?

• Let $C \approx 2 \sum r_i$

- Let $r_{\max} = \max\{r_i\}$
- In order to avoid overlaps we compare C and $2\pi r_{\max}$









Assume we are given the radii r_i of the subtrees T_i . How to determine θ_i - angle of the wedge containing the circle r_i ?

• Let $C \approx 2 \sum r_i$

- Let $r_{\max} = \max\{r_i\}$
- In order to avoid overlaps we compare C and $2\pi r_{\rm max}$

If $C \le 2\pi r_{\max}$ we set $R = r_{\max}$, otherwise we set $R = \frac{C}{2\pi}$









Assume we are given the radii r_i of the subtrees T_i . How to determine θ_i - angle of the wedge containing the circle r_i ?

• Let $C \approx 2 \sum r_i$

- Let $r_{\max} = \max\{r_i\}$
- In order to avoid overlaps we compare C and $2\pi r_{\rm max}$
- If $C \le 2\pi r_{\max}$ we set $R = r_{\max}$, otherwise we set $R = \frac{C}{2\pi}$
- Set $\theta_i = 2(r_i + \text{free arc})/R$









Assume we are given the radii r_i of the subtrees T_i . How to determine θ_i - angle of the wedge containing the circle r_i ?

• Let $C \approx 2 \sum r_i$

- Let $r_{\max} = \max\{r_i\}$
- In order to avoid overlaps we compare C and $2\pi r_{\rm max}$
- If $C \le 2\pi r_{\max}$ we set $R = r_{\max}$, otherwise we set $R = \frac{C}{2\pi}$
- Set $\theta_i = 2(r_i + \text{free arc})/R$
- Let σ be the permutation of the subtrees









Assume we are given the radii r_i of the subtrees T_i . How to determine θ_i - angle of the wedge containing the circle r_i ?

• Let $C \approx 2 \sum r_i$

- Let $r_{\max} = \max\{r_i\}$
- In order to avoid overlaps we compare C and $2\pi r_{\rm max}$
- If $C \le 2\pi r_{\max}$ we set $R = r_{\max}$, otherwise we set $R = \frac{C}{2\pi}$
- Set $\theta_i = 2(r_i + \text{free arc})/R$
- Let σ be the permutation of the subtrees

• $\frac{\theta_{\sigma_i} + \theta_{\sigma_{i+1}}}{2}$ - angle between two consecutive edges







 $\frac{\theta_{\sigma_i}+\theta_{\sigma_{i+1}}}{2}$ - angle between two edges







 $\frac{\theta_{\sigma_i} + \theta_{\sigma_{i+1}}}{2}$ - angle between two edges

•
$$AngResl_{\sigma} = \min_{1 \le i \le n} \{ \frac{\theta_{\sigma_i} + \theta_{\sigma_{i+1}}}{2} \}$$



Algorithmen zur Visualisierung von Graphen Tamara Mchedlidze





• $\frac{\theta_{\sigma_i} + \theta_{\sigma_{i+1}}}{2}$ - angle between two edges

- $AngResl_{\sigma} = \min_{1 \le i \le n} \{ \frac{\theta_{\sigma_i} + \theta_{\sigma_{i+1}}}{2} \}$
 - Permute the circles (σ) so that the $AngleResl_{\sigma}$ is maximized.







 $\frac{\theta_{\sigma_i} + \theta_{\sigma_{i+1}}}{2}$ - angle between two edges

- $AngResl_{\sigma} = \min_{1 \le i \le n} \{ \frac{\theta_{\sigma_i} + \theta_{\sigma_{i+1}}}{2} \}$
 - Permute the circles (σ) so that the $AngleResl_{\sigma}$ is maximized.
 - Let $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_k, mid, M_k, M_{k-1}, \ldots, M_2, M_1$ be the angles θ in the increasing ordering, i.e. m_i (M_i) is *i*-th min (max), *mid*-unique medium, in case of odd number of circles and even k.







 $\frac{\theta_{\sigma_i} + \theta_{\sigma_{i+1}}}{2}$ - angle between two edges

- $AngResl_{\sigma} = \min_{1 \le i \le n} \{ \frac{\theta_{\sigma_i} + \theta_{\sigma_{i+1}}}{2} \}$
 - Permute the circles (σ) so that the $AngleResl_{\sigma}$ is maximized.
- Let $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_k, mid, M_k, M_{k-1}, \ldots, M_2, M_1$ be the angles θ in the increasing ordering, i.e. m_i (M_i) is *i*-th min (max), *mid*-unique medium, in case of odd number of circles and even k.
- Let $\sigma = \{M_1, m_2, M_3, m_4, \dots, M_{k-1}, m_k, mid, M_k, m_{k-1}, \dots, M_4, m_3, M_2, m_1\}$. We show that σ gives maximum angle resolution.







 $\frac{\theta_{\sigma_i} + \theta_{\sigma_{i+1}}}{2}$ - angle between two edges

- $AngResl_{\sigma} = \min_{1 \le i \le n} \{ \frac{\theta_{\sigma_i} + \theta_{\sigma_{i+1}}}{2} \}$
 - Permute the circles (σ) so that the $AngleResl_{\sigma}$ is maximized.
- Let $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_k, mid, M_k, M_{k-1}, \ldots, M_2, M_1$ be the angles θ in the increasing ordering, i.e. m_i (M_i) is *i*-th min (max), *mid*-unique medium, in case of odd number of circles and even k.
- Let $\sigma = \{M_1, m_2, M_3, m_4, \dots, M_{k-1}, m_k, mid, M_k, m_{k-1}, \dots, M_4, m_3, M_2, m_1\}$. We show that σ gives maximum angle resolution.

• Let
$$\alpha_{ij} = \frac{M_i + m_j}{2}$$
. Angles $\frac{mid + m_k}{2}$, $\alpha_{(i-1)i}$, $\frac{M_k + mid}{2}$, $\alpha_{i(i-1)}$ are in σ .







• $\frac{\theta_{\sigma_i} + \theta_{\sigma_{i+1}}}{2}$ - angle between two edges

- $AngResl_{\sigma} = \min_{1 \le i \le n} \{ \frac{\theta_{\sigma_i} + \theta_{\sigma_{i+1}}}{2} \}$
 - Permute the circles (σ) so that the $AngleResl_{\sigma}$ is maximized.
- Let $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_k, mid, M_k, M_{k-1}, \ldots, M_2, M_1$ be the angles θ in the increasing ordering, i.e. m_i (M_i) is *i*-th min (max), *mid*-unique medium, in case of odd number of circles and even k.
- Let $\sigma = \{M_1, m_2, M_3, m_4, \dots, M_{k-1}, m_k, mid, M_k, m_{k-1}, \dots, M_4, m_3, M_2, m_1\}$. We show that σ gives maximum angle resolution.
- Let $\alpha_{ij} = \frac{M_i + m_j}{2}$. Angles $\frac{mid + m_k}{2}$, $\alpha_{(i-1)i}$, $\frac{M_k + mid}{2}$, $\alpha_{i(i-1)}$ are in σ .
- Relations among α_{ij} :







• $\frac{\theta_{\sigma_i} + \theta_{\sigma_{i+1}}}{2}$ - angle between two edges

- $AngResl_{\sigma} = \min_{1 \le i \le n} \{ \frac{\theta_{\sigma_i} + \theta_{\sigma_{i+1}}}{2} \}$
 - Permute the circles (σ) so that the $AngleResl_{\sigma}$ is maximized.
- Let $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_k, mid, M_k, M_{k-1}, \ldots, M_2, M_1$ be the angles θ in the increasing ordering, i.e. m_i (M_i) is *i*-th min (max), *mid*-unique medium, in case of odd number of circles and even k.
- Let $\sigma = \{M_1, m_2, M_3, m_4, \dots, M_{k-1}, m_k, mid, M_k, m_{k-1}, \dots, M_4, m_3, M_2, m_1\}$. We show that σ gives maximum angle resolution.
- Let $\alpha_{ij} = \frac{M_i + m_j}{2}$. Angles $\frac{mid + m_k}{2}$, $\alpha_{(i-1)i}$, $\frac{M_k + mid}{2}$, $\alpha_{i(i-1)}$ are in σ .
- Relations among α_{ij} : $\alpha_{12} > \alpha_{32} < \alpha_{34} > \cdots > \alpha_{j(j-1)} < \cdots > \alpha_{k(k-1)} < \frac{M_k + mid}{2} > \frac{mid + m_k}{2} < \alpha_{(k-1)k} > \cdots > \alpha_{43} < \alpha_{23} > \alpha_{21} < \alpha_{12}.$





• Recall
$$\alpha_{ij} = \frac{M_i + m_j}{2}$$

■ Relations among α_{ij} : $\alpha_{12} > \alpha_{32} < \alpha_{34} > \cdots > \alpha_{j(j-1)} < \cdots > \alpha_{k(k-1)} < \frac{M_k + mid}{2} > \frac{mid + m_k}{2} < \alpha_{(k-1)k} > \cdots > \alpha_{43} < \alpha_{23} > \alpha_{21} < \alpha_{12}.$

Smallest angle in σ is either: $\frac{mid+m_k}{2}$ or $\alpha_{j(j-1)}$, while the size of the biggest angle is either $\frac{M_k+mid}{2}$ or $\alpha_{(l-1)l}$, $j, l \in \{2, \ldots, k\}$.





• Recall
$$\alpha_{ij} = \frac{M_i + m_j}{2}$$

■ Relations among α_{ij} : $\alpha_{12} > \alpha_{32} < \alpha_{34} > \cdots > \alpha_{j(j-1)} < \cdots > \alpha_{k(k-1)} < \frac{M_k + mid}{2} > \frac{mid + m_k}{2} < \alpha_{(k-1)k} > \cdots > \alpha_{43} < \alpha_{23} > \alpha_{21} < \alpha_{12}.$

Smallest angle in σ is either: $\frac{mid+m_k}{2}$ or $\alpha_{j(j-1)}$, while the size of the biggest angle is either $\frac{M_k+mid}{2}$ or $\alpha_{(l-1)l}, j, l \in \{2, \ldots, k\}$.

Assume the min angle of σ is $\alpha_{i(i-1)} = \frac{M_i + m_{i-1}}{2}$, and assume σ is not optimal.





• Recall $\alpha_{ij} = \frac{M_i + m_j}{2}$

■ Relations among α_{ij} : $\alpha_{12} > \alpha_{32} < \alpha_{34} > \cdots > \alpha_{j(j-1)} < \cdots > \alpha_{k(k-1)} < \frac{M_k + mid}{2} > \frac{mid + m_k}{2} < \alpha_{(k-1)k} > \cdots > \alpha_{43} < \alpha_{23} > \alpha_{21} < \alpha_{12}.$

- Smallest angle in σ is either: $\frac{mid+m_k}{2}$ or $\alpha_{j(j-1)}$, while the size of the biggest angle is either $\frac{M_k+mid}{2}$ or $\alpha_{(l-1)l}, j, l \in \{2, \ldots, k\}$.
- Assume the min angle of σ is $\alpha_{i(i-1)} = \frac{M_i + m_{i-1}}{2}$, and assume σ is not optimal.
- Let δ be a permutation with maximum angle resolution





• Recall $\alpha_{ij} = \frac{M_i + m_j}{2}$

■ Relations among α_{ij} : $\alpha_{12} > \alpha_{32} < \alpha_{34} > \cdots > \alpha_{j(j-1)} < \cdots > \alpha_{k(k-1)} < \frac{M_k + mid}{2} > \frac{mid + m_k}{2} < \alpha_{(k-1)k} > \cdots > \alpha_{43} < \alpha_{23} > \alpha_{21} < \alpha_{12}.$

- Smallest angle in σ is either: $\frac{mid+m_k}{2}$ or $\alpha_{j(j-1)}$, while the size of the biggest angle is either $\frac{M_k+mid}{2}$ or $\alpha_{(l-1)l}, j, l \in \{2, \ldots, k\}$.
- Assume the min angle of σ is $\alpha_{i(i-1)} = \frac{M_i + m_{i-1}}{2}$, and assume σ is not optimal.
- Let δ be a permutation with maximum angle resolution
- If M_i and m_{i-1} neighbor in δ then $optAngResl = \alpha_{i,i-1}$ (???)





• Recall
$$\alpha_{ij} = \frac{M_i + m_j}{2}$$

■ Relations among α_{ij} : $\alpha_{12} > \alpha_{32} < \alpha_{34} > \cdots > \alpha_{j(j-1)} < \cdots > \alpha_{k(k-1)} < \frac{M_k + mid}{2} > \frac{mid + m_k}{2} < \alpha_{(k-1)k} > \cdots > \alpha_{43} < \alpha_{23} > \alpha_{21} < \alpha_{12}.$

- Smallest angle in σ is either: $\frac{mid+m_k}{2}$ or $\alpha_{j(j-1)}$, while the size of the biggest angle is either $\frac{M_k+mid}{2}$ or $\alpha_{(l-1)l}, j, l \in \{2, \ldots, k\}$.
- Assume the min angle of σ is $\alpha_{i(i-1)} = \frac{M_i + m_{i-1}}{2}$, and assume σ is not optimal.
- Let δ be a permutation with maximum angle resolution
- If M_i and m_{i-1} neighbor in δ then $optAngResl = \alpha_{i,i-1}$ (???)

If they do not, let x, y be the neighbors of m_{i-1} in δ , then: $\underbrace{m_1 < \cdots < m_{i-1}}_{i-1} < \cdots < M_i < \underbrace{\dots < x < \cdots < y < M_1}_{i-1}$





Thus, M_i and m_{i-1} neighbor in δ and therefore $AngRes_{\sigma} = AngRes_{\delta}$, i.e. σ maximizes the size of the smallest angle.





- Thus, M_i and m_{i-1} neighbor in δ and therefore $AngRes_{\sigma} = AngRes_{\delta}$, i.e. σ maximizes the size of the smallest angle.
- Similarly, we can show that σ minimizes the lagest angle





- Thus, M_i and m_{i-1} neighbor in δ and therefore $AngRes_{\sigma} = AngRes_{\delta}$, i.e. σ maximizes the size of the smallest angle.
- Similarly, we can show that σ minimizes the lagest angle

• Recall that:
$$AspRatio_{\sigma} = \frac{\max_{1 \le i \le n} \{\frac{\theta_{\sigma_{i}} + \theta_{\sigma_{i+1}}}{2}\}}{\min_{1 \le i \le n} \{\frac{\theta_{\sigma_{i}} + \theta_{\sigma_{i+1}}}{2}\}}$$





- Thus, M_i and m_{i-1} neighbor in δ and therefore $AngRes_{\sigma} = AngRes_{\delta}$, i.e. σ maximizes the size of the smallest angle.
- Similarly, we can show that σ minimizes the lagest angle

• Recall that:
$$AspRatio_{\sigma} = \frac{\max_{1 \le i \le n} \{\frac{\theta_{\sigma_i} + \theta_{\sigma_{i+1}}}{2}\}}{\min_{1 \le i \le n} \{\frac{\theta_{\sigma_i} + \theta_{\sigma_{i+1}}}{2}\}}$$

The radii and therefore the angles are independent on each level





- Thus, M_i and m_{i-1} neighbor in δ and therefore $AngRes_{\sigma} = AngRes_{\delta}$, i.e. σ maximizes the size of the smallest angle.
- Similarly, we can show that σ minimizes the lagest angle

• Recall that:
$$AspRatio_{\sigma} = \frac{\max_{1 \le i \le n} \{\frac{\theta_{\sigma_i} + \theta_{\sigma_{i+1}}}{2}\}}{\min_{1 \le i \le n} \{\frac{\theta_{\sigma_i} + \theta_{\sigma_{i+1}}}{2}\}}$$

- The radii and therefore the angles are independent on each level
- Therefore, if we apply σ at each level, we obtain an optimal aspect ratio.



Applications of (almost) Ballon Layout







Series-parallel Graphs

Graph G is series-parallel, if

- It contains a single edge (s, t) (s-source, t-sink)
- It consists of two series-parallel graphs G_1 , G_2 with sources s_1 , s_2 and sinks t_1, t_2 which are combined using one of the following rules:

Series composition:

Identify t_1 and s_2 , s_1 is the source of G, t_2 is the sink of G



Parallel composition:

Identify s_1, s_2 and set it to be source of GIdentify t_1, t_2 and set it to be sink of G





S



Series-parallel Graphs. Decomposition Tree.

Lemma

Series-parallel graphs are acyclic and planar.

In order to proof this statement we can use a **decomposition tree** of G, which is a binary tree T with nodes of three types: S,P and Q-type.


Series-parallel Graphs. Decomposition Tree.

Lemma

Series-parallel graphs are acyclic and planar.

In order to proof this statement we can use a **decomposition tree** of G, which is a binary tree T with nodes of three types: S,P and Q-type.

If G is a single edge, then the corresponding node is Q-node



Series-parallel Graphs. Decomposition Tree.

Lemma

Series-parallel graphs are acyclic and planar.

In order to proof this statement we can use a **decomposition tree** of G, which is a binary tree T with nodes of three types: S,P and Q-type.

- If G is a single edge, then the corresponding node is Q-node
- If G is a parallel composition of G_1 (with tree T_1) and G_2 (with tree T_2), then the root of T is P-node and T_1 is its left subtree, T_2 is its right subtree





Series-parallel Graphs. Decomposition Tree.

Lemma

Series-parallel graphs are acyclic and planar.

In order to proof this statement we can use a **decomposition tree** of G, which is a binary tree T with nodes of three types: S,P and Q-type.

- If G is a single edge, then the corresponding node is Q-node
- If G is a parallel composition of G_1 (with tree T_1) and G_2 (with tree T_2), then the root of T is P-node and T_1 is its left subtree, T_2 is its right subtree
- If G is a series composition of G_1 (with tree T_1) and G_2 (with tree T_2), then the root of T is S-node and T_1 is its left subtree, T_2 is its right subtree















































Algorithmen zur Visualisierung von Graphen Tamara Mchedlidze



Institut für Theoretische Informatik Lehrstuhl Algorithmik I

Series-parallel Graphs. Applications.





Flowcharts



PERT-Diagrams (Program Evaluation and Review Technique)



Series-parallel Graphs. Applications.







PERT-Diagrams (Program Evaluation and Review Technique)

Computational Complexity: Linear time algorithms for \mathcal{NP} -hard problems (e.g. Maximum Matching, Maximum Independent Set, Hamiltonian Completion)







Draw graph G inside a right-angled isosceles bounding triangle $\Delta(G)$

Q-Nodes (Induction base):







- Q-Nodes (Induction base):
- S-Nodes (series composition)









Draw graph G inside a right-angled isosceles bounding triangle $\Delta(G)$

- Q-Nodes (Induction base):
- S-Nodes (series composition)
- P-Nodes (parallel composition)

 $\Delta(G_1)$ \boldsymbol{S} Algorithmen zur Visualisierung von Graphen









- Q-Nodes (Induction base):
- S-Nodes (series composition)
- P-Nodes (parallel composition)









- Q-Nodes (Induction base):
- S-Nodes (series composition)
- P-Nodes (parallel composition)









 $\Delta(G_2)$

Draw graph G inside a right-angled isosceles bounding triangle $\Delta(G)$

Q-Nodes (Induction base):

 \boldsymbol{S}

- S-Nodes (series composition)
- P-Nodes (parallel composition)

 $\Delta(G_1$ $\Delta(G_1)$ \boldsymbol{S} change embedding! Algorithmen zur Visualisierung von Graphen Tamara Mchedlidze



 $[G_2]$





- Q-Nodes (Induction base):
- S-Nodes (series composition)
- P-Nodes (parallel composition)







- Q-Nodes (Induction base):
- S-Nodes (series composition)
- P-Nodes (parallel composition)









- Q-Nodes (Induction base):
- S-Nodes (series composition)
- P-Nodes (parallel composition)



















What makes parallel composition possible without creating crossings?



































What makes parallel composition possible without creating crossings?



Lemma If this condition holds then parallel composition results in a planar drawing.





What makes parallel composition possible without creating crossings?



Lemma If this condition holds then parallel composition results in a planar drawing.

The condition can be preserved during the induction step.


Straight-line Drawing of SP-Graphs



What makes parallel composition possible without creating crossings?



Lemma If this condition holds then parallel composition results in a planar drawing.

- The condition can be preserved during the induction step.
- The area of the drawing is?

Straight-line Drawing of SP-Graphs



What makes parallel composition possible without creating crossings?



Lemma If this condition holds then parallel composition results in a planar drawing.

- The condition can be preserved during the induction step.
- The area of the drawing is? $O(m^2)$, m is the number of edges



Straight-line Drawing of SP-Graphs



What makes parallel composition possible without creating crossings?



Theorem

A series-parallel graph G (with variable embedding) admits an upward planar straigh-line drawing with $O(n^2)$ area.





Theorem [Bertolazzi et al. 94]

There exists a 2*n*-vertex series-parallel graph G_n such that any upward planar drawing of G_n respecting embedding requires area $\Omega(4^n)$.





Theorem [Bertolazzi et al. 94]

There exists a 2*n*-vertex series-parallel graph G_n such that any upward planar drawing of G_n respecting embedding requires area $\Omega(4^n)$.







Theorem [Bertolazzi et al. 94]

There exists a 2*n*-vertex series-parallel graph G_n such that any upward planar drawing of G_n respecting embedding requires area $\Omega(4^n)$.









Theorem [Bertolazzi et al. 94]

There exists a 2*n*-vertex series-parallel graph G_n such that any upward planar drawing of G_n respecting embedding requires area $\Omega(4^n)$.







Theorem [Bertolazzi et al. 94]

There exists a 2*n*-vertex series-parallel graph G_n such that any upward planar drawing of G_n respecting embedding requires area $\Omega(4^n)$.







Theorem [Bertolazzi et al. 94]

There exists a 2*n*-vertex series-parallel graph G_n such that any upward planar drawing of G_n respecting embedding requires area $\Omega(4^n)$.







Theorem [Bertolazzi et al. 94]

There exists a 2*n*-vertex series-parallel graph G_n such that any upward planar drawing of G_n respecting embedding requires area $\Omega(4^n)$.







Theorem [Bertolazzi et al. 94]

There exists a 2*n*-vertex series-parallel graph G_n such that any upward planar drawing of G_n respecting embedding requires area $\Omega(4^n)$.







Theorem [Bertolazzi et al. 94]

There exists a 2*n*-vertex series-parallel graph G_n such that any upward planar drawing of G_n respecting embedding requires area $\Omega(4^n)$.







Theorem [Bertolazzi et al. 94]

There exists a 2*n*-vertex series-parallel graph G_n such that any upward planar drawing of G_n respecting embedding requires area $\Omega(4^n)$.









Theorem [Bertolazzi et al. 94]

There exists a 2*n*-vertex series-parallel graph G_n such that any upward planar drawing of G_n respecting embedding requires area $\Omega(4^n)$.









Theorem [Bertolazzi et al. 94]

There exists a 2*n*-vertex series-parallel graph G_n such that any upward planar drawing of G_n respecting embedding requires area $\Omega(4^n)$.







Theorem [Bertolazzi et al. 94]

There exists a 2*n*-vertex series-parallel graph G_n such that any upward planar drawing of G_n respecting embedding requires area $\Omega(4^n)$.







Theorem [Bertolazzi et al. 94]

There exists a 2*n*-vertex series-parallel graph G_n such that any upward planar drawing of G_n respecting embedding requires area $\Omega(4^n)$.























nicer???

































Algorithm by Hong, Eades and Lee (2000) creates symmetrical drawings of series-parallel graphs.

