

## **Algorithmic Graph Theory**

Problem Class 2 | 7 May 2025

Laura Merker, Samuel Schneider



Update from the faculty



exams may be taken in English or German



Graph G on vertices  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ , tuple  $h = (h_1, \ldots, h_n)$  of nonnegative integers

Recall  $H = G \circ h$ :  $V(H) = \{x_i^1, \dots, x_i^{h_i} \mid i \in [n]\}, E(H) = \{x_i^a x_j^b \mid x_i x_j \in E(G), a \in [h_i], b \in [h_j]\}$ 

 $\begin{aligned} \widehat{\text{New definition: } H = G \ominus h \text{ with}} \\ V(H) &= \{x_i^1, \dots, x_i^{h_i} \mid i \in [n]\}, \\ E(H) &= \{x_i^a x_j^1 \mid x_i x_j \in E(G), a \in [h_i], h_j > 0\} \end{aligned}$ 

(1) What is the difference between  $\circ$  and  $\Theta$ ?



(2) Can ○, resp. ⊖, be realized by elementary operations?
 I.e., is there a sequence h<sup>1</sup>, h<sup>2</sup>,... of tuples, each with all entries 1 except for one 0 or 2, such that G \* h = G \* h<sup>1</sup> \* h<sup>2</sup> \* ... for each \* ∈ {○, ⊖}? If so, does the order matter?

(3) Find a largest cycle, a largest induced cycle,  $\omega, \chi, \alpha$ , and  $\kappa$  of  $K_n \ominus (2, \ldots, 2)$ .

(4) Prove or disprove: If G is perfect, then ...

- $\omega(G \ominus h) = \chi(G \ominus h)$  (follow a proof of the lecture)
- $G \ominus h$  is perfect

Let  $G_0 = K_2$  and  $H_i = G_{i-1} \ominus (2, \ldots, 2)$ ,  $G_i = H_i + u + \{uv \mid v \in V(H_i) - V(G_{i-1})\}$ , where u is a new vertex,  $i \ge 1$ 

(5) Prove that  $G_1, G_2, \ldots$  are not perfect.

(6) Prove that  $G_0, G_1, \ldots$  are "far from perfect". For this, find  $\omega(G_i)$  and  $\chi(G_i)$ ,  $i \ge 0$ .



### What is the difference?

Graph G on vertices  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ , tuple  $h = (h_1, \ldots, h_n)$  of nonnegative integers Recall:  $H = G \circ h$  defined as  $\bullet \circ \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} = \bullet$  $V(H) = \{x_i^1, \dots, x_i^{h_i} \mid i \in [n]\}$  $E(H) = \{ x_i^a x_j^b \mid x_i x_j \in E(G), a \in [h_i], b \in [h_j] \}$ **New definition:**  $H = G \ominus h$  with  $\Theta \begin{pmatrix} 3\\ 2\\ 2 \end{pmatrix} = \Phi$  $V(H) = \{x_i^1, \dots, x_i^{h_i} \mid i \in [n]\}$  $E(H) = \{x_i^a x_j^1 \mid x_i x_j \in E(G), a \in [h_i], h_j > 0\}$ **Claim:**  $(G \circ h) \circ h' = G \circ (h + h' - (1, ..., 1))$ (padding h' with 1's as necessary) so  $G \circ (3,0,1) = G \circ (2,0,1) \circ (2,1) = G \circ (1,0,1) \circ (2,1) \circ (2,1,1)$ • same number of vertices:  $n + \sum (h_i - 1) + \sum (h'_i - 1) = n + (\sum (h_i + h'_i - 1) - n)$  $(x_1^b)^1 = x_1^c$ Identify vertices: Denote twins (due to h') of  $x_i^b \in V(G \circ h)$  by  $x_i^c$  with suitable c > b $x_{2} \longrightarrow \mathbf{1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{1}$ • edges with both endpoints in  $G \circ h$ : definitions coincide •  $x_i^a x_i^c \in E(G \circ h \circ h')$  with  $x_i^c$  twin of some  $x_i^b$  in  $G \circ h$  $\implies x_i^a x_j^b \in E(G \circ h) \implies x_i x_j \in E(G) \implies x_i^a x_j^c \in E(G \circ h + h' - (1, \dots, 1))$ other direction analoguous  $\implies$  elementary operations  $G \circ x_i$  and  $G - x_i$  suffice (in any order)

Institute of Theoretical Informatics



### What is the difference?

Graph G on vertices  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ , tuple  $h = (h_1, \ldots, h_n)$  of nonnegative integers Recall:  $H = G \circ h$  defined as  $V(H) = \{x_i^1, \ldots, x_i^{h_i} \mid i \in [n]\}$   $E(H) = \{x_i^a x_j^b \mid x_i x_j \in E(G), a \in [h_i], b \in [h_j]\}$  $\circ \begin{pmatrix} 3\\2\\2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3\\2\\2 \end{pmatrix}$ 

New definition: 
$$H = G \ominus h$$
 with  
 $V(H) = \{x_i^1, \dots, x_i^{h_i} \mid i \in [n]\}$   
 $E(H) = \{x_i^a x_j^1 \mid x_i x_j \in E(G), a \in [h_i], h_j > 0\}$ 

Does the same work for  $\bigcirc$ ?  $\rightarrow$  **No!** What about other sequences?

What in the proof for  $\circ$  fails for  $\Theta$ ?

**Claim:** The graphs obtained form  $K_2$  by elementary  $\Theta$ -replications are exactly the complete bipartite graphs.  $\rightarrow$  no  $P_4$ 

**Therefore:** single operation for all new vertices necessary for new vertices with  $\Theta$ 



### What is the difference?

### Graph G on vertices $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ , tuple $h = (h_1, \ldots, h_n)$ of nonnegative integers Recall: $H = G \circ h$ defined as $V(H) = \{x_i^1, \dots, x_i^{h_i} \mid i \in [n]\}$

New definition: 
$$H = G \ominus h$$
 with  
 $V(H) = \{x_i^1, \dots, x_i^{h_i} \mid i \in [n]\}$   
 $E(H) = \{x_i^a x_j^1 \mid x_i x_j \in E(G), a \in [h_i], h_j > 0\}$ 

Does the same work for  $\Theta$ ? What about other sequences?

• same number of vertices:  $n + \sum (h_i - 1) + \sum (h'_i - 1) = n + (\sum (h_i + h'_i - 1) - n)$ 

• Identify vertices: Denote twins (due to h') of  $x_i^b \in V(G \circ h)$  by  $x_i^c$  with suitable c > b

# $x_i^a x_i^c \in E(G \circ h \circ h') \text{ with } x_j^c \text{ twin of some } x_j^b \text{ in } G \circ h$ $x_i^a x_i^b \in E(G \circ h) \quad \nleftrightarrow \quad r \in E(G) \quad h \in G \circ h$ $\implies x_i^a x_j^b \in E(G \circ h) \iff x_i x_j \in E(G) \implies x_i^a x_j^c \in E(G \circ h + h' - (1, \dots, 1))$ other direction analoguous

 $\implies$  elementary operations  $G \circ x_i$  and  $G - x_i$  suffice (in any order)



 $(x_1^b)^1 = x_1^c$ 



What in the proof for  $\circ$  fails for  $\Theta$ ?



# $K_n \ominus (2,\ldots,2)$





- Hamilton cycle (length 2n)
- largest induced cycle: triangle
  - larger induced cycle contains  $\leq 2$  black vertices (clique)
  - all black vertices are consecutive (clique)
  - $\blacksquare$  blue vertices not adjacent  $\implies$  only one blue vertex

$$\omega = \chi = \alpha = \kappa = n$$



### Perfect?

**Lemma (lecture):** If G is perfect, then  $G \circ h$  is perfect. **Goal:** adapt proof for  $\Theta$ 

**Observation:** If h is a 0-1-tuple, then  $G \ominus h = G \circ h \subseteq_{ind} G$ 

Let 
$$h'$$
 be such that  $h'_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } h_i > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } h_i = 0 \end{cases}$  and  $G' = G \ominus h' \subseteq_{\text{ind}} G$ 

Since G is perfect, we have  $\omega(G') = \chi(G')$ .

• new vertices in  $G \ominus h$  form an independent set  $\implies \leq 1$  new vertex in every clique If new vertex  $x_i^a$  in largest clique C, then  $C - x_i^a + x_i^1 \subseteq G'$  is clique of same size.  $\implies \omega(G \ominus h) = \omega(G')$ 

• Observe: 
$$N(x_i^a) \subseteq N(x_i)$$
 for each twin  $x_i^a$  of  $x_i$   
Let  $c': V(G') \rightarrow [\chi(G')]$  be a proper coloring.  
Now  $c(x_i^a) = c'(x_i)$  is a proper coloring of  $G \ominus h$ .  
 $\implies \chi(G \ominus h) = \chi(G')$ 

So,  $\omega(G \ominus h) = \omega(G') = \chi(G') = \chi(G \ominus h)$ 



#### **Perfect?**

**Lemma (lecture):** If G is perfect, then  $G \circ h$  is perfect. **Goal:** adapt proof for  $\Theta$  **Observation:** If h is a 0-1-tuple, then  $G \Theta h = G \circ h \subseteq_{ind} G$ **Have:**  $\omega(G \Theta h) = \chi(G \Theta h)$ 

But what about induced subgraphs?



### Far from perfect (Mycielski 1955)



Let  $G_0 = K_2$  and  $H_i = G_{i-1} \ominus (2, ..., 2)$ ,  $G_i = H_i + u + \{uv \mid v \in V(H_i) - V(G_{i-1})\}$ , where u is a new vertex,  $i \ge 1$ 

- $G_0$  $H_1$  $G_1$  $G_2$  $G_1$  $H_2$
- $G_0 = K_2$  is perfect
- $\bullet G_i, i > 0$  contain induced  $C_5 \implies$  not perfect
- $\omega(G_i) = 2$  for all *i* by induction:
  - triangle does not contain
  - only one o
  - so at least two •, but they form triangle with twin of chosen o
  - contradiction: do not form a triangle by induction



### Far from perfect (Mycielski 1955)

 $G_1$ 

 $G_2$ 



Let  $G_0 = K_2$  and  $H_i = G_{i-1} \ominus (2, ..., 2)$ ,  $G_i = H_i + u + \{uv \mid v \in V(H_i) - V(G_{i-1})\}$ , where u is a new vertex,  $i \ge 1$ 

- $\chi(G_i) \ge i+2$  by induction:
  - let k = i + 1
  - let c be a k-coloring that minimizes the number of vertices colored in the same color as ◇
  - w. l. o. g  $c(\diamondsuit) = k$
  - If there is a  $\bullet$ -vertex  $x_i$  colored with k, then its neighborhood contains all other colors (choice of c)
  - thus, the neighborhood of its twin  $x_i^2$  contains all colors  $1,\ldots,k-1$  and  $c(x_i^2)=k$
  - not a proper coloring
  - $\blacksquare$  so the  $\bullet\mbox{-vertices}$  admit a  $(k-1)\mbox{-coloring},$  contradiction to induction
- $\chi(G_i) \leq i+2$ : copy colors for  $\circ$  and use new color for  $\diamond$

 $G_0$ 

 $G_1$ 

 $H_1$ 

 $H_{2}$