Clustering and Comparing Clusterings

Robert Görke Dorothea Wagner Silke Wagner

University of Karlsruhe Faculty of Computer Science Department of Theoretical Computer Science





Görke, Wagner, Wagner



Motivation



< 47 → ≣⇒ 문(권



Why Cluster?



Motivation Concretion Problems of Static Clustering

Why Cluster?

• Need for structural information about a network







(1H) CS Görke, Wagner, Wagner



Algorithmics http://i11www.ira.uka.de

Motivation

Why Cluster?

Need for structural information about a network



< A > ≣⇒ 문(권



Görke, Wagner, Wagner



Motivation Concretion Problems of Static Clustering

• Need for structural information about a network

Most applications on large networks fall within two cases



< □
 < □



Why Cluster?

Görke, Wagner, Wagner



Algorithmics http://i11www.ira.uka.de

Motivation

Need for structural information about a network

- Most applications on large networks fall within two cases
 - Interested in small section (e.g. for queries,...)

 \rightarrow reduction



< A > < ≣⇒ 문(권



Why Cluster?



Motivation Concretion Problems of Static Clustering

• Need for structural information about a network

- Most applications on large networks fall within two cases
 - Interested in small section (e.g. for queries,...)

 \longrightarrow reduction

• Interested in coarse structure (e.g. for visualization)

 \longrightarrow abstraction



Why Cluster?



<日→ <注→ <注→ 王□=

Motivation Concretion Problems of Static Clustering

• Need for structural information about a network

- Most applications on large networks fall within two cases
 - Interested in small section (e.g. for queries,...)

 \longrightarrow reduction

- Interested in coarse structure (e.g. for visualization)

 abstraction
- Detect groups/clusters as basic structural units





Why Cluster?

Görke, Wagner, Wagner



Algorithmics http://i11www.ira.uka.de

< 문♪ 도(님)

Motivation Concretion Problems of Static Clustering

Given: (un)weighted, (un)directed graph G = (V, E)**Find:** partition of *V* into clusters C_1, \ldots, C_k such that

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >



How to Cluster

Abstract Idea





Motivation Concretion Problems of Static Clustering

Given: (un)weighted, (un)directed graph G = (V, E)**Find:** partition of *V* into clusters C_1, \ldots, C_k such that (1) intra-cluster density is maximized

< □
 < □



How to Cluster

Abstract Idea



Motivation Concretion Problems of Static Clustering

How to Cluster Abstract Idea

Given: (un)weighted, (un)directed graph G = (V, E)**Find:** partition of *V* into clusters C_1, \ldots, C_k such that

- (1) intra-cluster density is maximized
- (2) inter-cluster sparsity is maximized

◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □
 ◆ □





Motivation Concretion Problems of Static Clustering

How to Cluster Abstract Idea

Given: (un)weighted, (un)directed graph G = (V, E)**Find:** partition of *V* into clusters C_1, \ldots, C_k such that

- (1) intra-cluster density is maximized
- (2) inter-cluster sparsity is maximized

Typically, a clustering algorithm tries to maximize a quality function that captures (1) and/or (2)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >



Motivation Concretion Problems of Static Clustering

• Coverage:

How to Cluster Quality Functions

$c(\mathcal{C}) = rac{\# ext{ intra-cluster edges}}{\# ext{ edges}}$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >





Motivation Concretion Problems of Static Clustering

• Coverage:

How to Cluster Quality Functions

$c(\mathcal{C}) = rac{\# \text{ intra-cluster edges}}{\# \text{ edges}}$

Performance:

$c(\mathcal{P}) = rac{\# \text{ intra-cluster edges} + \# \text{ absent inter-cluster edges}}{\# \text{ point pairs}}$





Motivation Concretion Problems of Static Clustering

• Coverage:

How to Cluster Quality Functions

$c(\mathcal{C}) = \frac{\text{\# intra-cluster edges}}{\text{\# edges}}$

Performance:

$c(\mathcal{P}) = rac{\text{\# intra-cluster edges} + \text{\# absent inter-cluster edges}}{\text{\# point pairs}}$

Conductance: measure for sparse cuts (bottlenecks)





Motivation Concretion Problems of Static Clustering

• Coverage:

How to Cluster Quality Functions

$c(\mathcal{C}) = rac{\# \text{ intra-cluster edges}}{\# \text{ edges}}$

Performance:

$c(\mathcal{P}) = rac{\# \text{ intra-cluster edges} + \# \text{ absent inter-cluster edges}}{\# \text{ point pairs}}$

Conductance: measure for sparse cuts (bottlenecks)

<□><□><□><□><□><□=><□=><□=></□<□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><□=><l



Ο...

Motivation Concretion Problems of Static Clustering

• Coverage:

How to Cluster Quality Functions

$c(\mathcal{C}) = rac{\# \text{ intra-cluster edges}}{\# \text{ edges}}$

Performance:

 $c(\mathcal{P}) = rac{\# \text{ intra-cluster edges} + \# \text{ absent inter-cluster edges}}{\# \text{ point pairs}}$

- Conductance: measure for sparse cuts (bottlenecks)
- . . .

•
$$\overline{QF}_1 = QF - E[QF]$$
 $\overline{QF}_2 = \frac{QF}{E[QF]}$



</l>

Motivation Concretion Problems of Static Clustering

How to Cluster Quality Functions

Finding global optimum of quality function is (in general) **NP-hard**

<□><□><□><□><□><□><□><□=><□=></□<>



Algorithmics http://i11www.ira.uka.de



Görke, Wagner, Wagner

Motivation Concretion Problems of Static Clustering

How to Cluster Quality Functions

Finding global optimum of quality function is (in general) **NP-hard**

\implies Approximate with greedy algorithms





Görke, Wagner, Wagner



Algorithmics http://i11www.ira.uka.de

Motivation Concretion Problems of Static Clustering

How to Cluster Methodologies



<日 <日 <日 <日 <日 <日 <日



Universität Karlsruhe (TH) Faculty of Informatics

Görke, Wagner, Wagner



Algorithmics http://i11www.ira.uka.de

Concretion

How to Cluster **Methodologies**

Bottom-up: Start with singletons



< A > ∃⇒ < ∃→ 문(권





Motivation Concretion Problems of Static Clustering

How to Cluster Methodologies

● Bottom-up: Start with *singletons* ⇒ merge clusters



(□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)



Görke, Wagner, Wagner



Concretion

How to Cluster **Methodologies**

• Bottom-up: Start with singletons \Rightarrow merge clusters



< A > < ≣⇒ 문(권





Motivation Concretion Problems of Static Clustering

How to Cluster Methodologies

● Bottom-up: Start with *singletons* ⇒ merge clusters



< □ → < 클 → < 클 → < 클 →





Concretion

How to Cluster **Methodologies**

• Bottom-up: Start with singletons \Rightarrow merge clusters



< A > < ≣ → 문(권



Universität Karlsruhe (TH) Faculty of Informatics

Görke, Wagner, Wagner



Algorithmics http://i11www.ira.uka.de

Motivation Concretion Problems of Static Clustering

How to Cluster Methodologies

- Bottom-up: Start with *singletons* ⇒ merge clusters
- Top-down: Start with the one-cluster







Motivation Concretion Problems of Static Clustering

How to Cluster Methodologies

- Bottom-up: Start with *singletons* ⇒ merge clusters
- Top-down: Start with the one-cluster ⇒ split clusters



(□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)
 (□)





Concretion

How to Cluster **Methodologies**

- Bottom-up: Start with singletons \Rightarrow merge clusters
- Top-down: Start with the *one-cluster* \Rightarrow split clusters
- Morphing: Start with random clustering



< A > **⇒** → 고 1 님





Motivation Concretion Problems of Static Clustering

How to Cluster Methodologies

- Bottom-up: Start with singletons \Rightarrow merge clusters
- Top-down: Start with the one-cluster ⇒ split clusters
- Morphing: Start with random clustering ⇒ migrate nodes



< 급>< 급>< 급>목





Motivation Concretion Problems of Static Clustering

How to Cluster Methodologies

- Bottom-up: Start with singletons \Rightarrow merge clusters
- Top-down: Start with the one-cluster ⇒ split clusters
- Morphing: Start with random clustering ⇒ migrate nodes



(日)
 (日)





Motivation Concretion Problems of Static Clustering

How to Cluster Methodologies

- Bottom-up: Start with singletons \Rightarrow merge clusters
- Top-down: Start with the one-cluster ⇒ split clusters
- Morphing: Start with random clustering ⇒ migrate nodes



Other techniques

Spectral clustering (eigendecomposition of adjacency matrix)







Concretion

How to Cluster **Methodologies**

- Bottom-up: Start with singletons ⇒ merge clusters
- Top-down: Start with the *one-cluster* \Rightarrow split clusters
- Morphing: Start with random clustering \Rightarrow migrate nodes



Other techniques

- Spectral clustering (eigendecomposition of adjacency) matrix)
- Identifying structures directly (Cliques, Coresets,...)





Motivation Concretion Problems of Static Clustering

How to Cluster Methodologies

- Bottom-up: Start with singletons \Rightarrow merge clusters
- Top-down: Start with the one-cluster ⇒ split clusters
- Morphing: Start with random clustering ⇒ migrate nodes



Other techniques

- Spectral clustering (eigendecomposition of adjacency matrix)
- Identifying structures directly (Cliques, Coresets,...)





Görke, Wagner, Wagner



Problems of Static Clustering

Which solution is desired?

Granularity



< A > < ∃→ 문(권



Görke, Wagner, Wagner



Algorithmics http://i11www.ira.uka.de

Motivation Concretion Problems of Static Clustering

Which solution is desired?

Granularity



<日) <個♪ <注♪ <注♪



Görke, Wagner, Wagner



Algorithmics http://i11www.ira.uka.de

Motivation Concretion Problems of Static Clustering

Which solution is desired?



algorithmic optimum \Leftrightarrow desired clustercount



Granularity

Görke, Wagner, Wagner



Algorithmics http://i11www.ira.uka.de

</l>
● 문 >
< 문 >
● 문 >
● 문 >
● 문 =
Motivation Concretion Problems of Static Clustering

Cheating a Criterion

Any single optimization criterion can be fooled



- l ¶ →
- 1≣ ▶
- $\exists \rightarrow$
- モモ
- 훈(육)







Motivation Concretion Problems of Static Clustering

Cheating a Criterion

Any single optimization criterion can be fooled



Similar (more sophisticated) examples exist for any criterion





Motivation Concretion Problems of Static Clustering

Problems and Questions of Static Clustering

• Which criterion works well for which kind of graph?

□
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □





Motivation Concretion Problems of Static Clustering

Problems and Questions of Static Clustering

- Which criterion works well for which kind of graph?
- Best method/algorithm for optimizing a certain criterion?





Motivation Concretion Problems of Static Clustering

Problems and Questions of Static Clustering

- Which criterion works well for which kind of graph?
- Best method/algorithm for optimizing a certain criterion?
- Comparability of clusterings/algorithms
 - How similar are two clustering results?
 - How close is a result to optimal solution (if known)?





Motivation Concretion Problems of Static Clustering

Problems and Questions of Static Clustering

- Which criterion works well for which kind of graph?
- Best method/algorithm for optimizing a certain criterion?
- Comparability of clusterings/algorithms
 - How similar are two clustering results?
 - How close is a result to optimal solution (if known)?
 - $\bullet \ \Rightarrow$ need for similarity/distance measures for clusterings





Basics Example

Dynamic Situation

Given: Graph G = (V, E); clustering algorithm *A*; update operation $\Delta : G \mapsto G' = (V', E')$

□
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □







Basics Example

Dynamic Situation

Given: Graph G = (V, E); clustering algorithm *A*; update operation $\Delta : G \mapsto G' = (V', E')$ Possible updates:

< □
 < □
 < □
 < □
 < □
 < □
 < □





Basics Example

Dynamic Situation

Given: Graph G = (V, E); clustering algorithm *A*; update operation $\Delta : G \mapsto G' = (V', E')$ Possible updates:

- insertion of an edge
- deletion of an edge

< □
 < □
 < □
 < □
 < □
 < □
 < □





Basics Example

Dynamic Situation

Given: Graph G = (V, E); clustering algorithm *A*; update operation $\Delta : G \mapsto G' = (V', E')$ Possible updates:

- insertion of an edge
- deletion of an edge
- insertion of a node (and its incident edges)
- deletion of a node (and its incident edges)



Basics Example

Dynamic Situation

Given: Graph G = (V, E); clustering algorithm *A*; update operation $\Delta : G \mapsto G' = (V', E')$ Possible updates:

- insertion of an edge
- deletion of an edge
- insertion of a node (and its incident edges)
- deletion of a node (and its incident edges)
- **Find**: efficient method for calculating $A(\Delta G)$ from A(G)







Dynamic Clustering

Basics

Typical Clustering Dynamics

Consistent with intuition:



< 47 → ≣⇒ 문(권



Universität Karlsruhe (TH) **Faculty of Informatics**

Görke, Wagner, Wagner



Basics Example

Typical Clustering Dynamics

Consistent with intuition:

• insertion of intra-cluster edge strengthens cluster





Görke, Wagner, Wagner



Basics Example

Typical Clustering Dynamics

Consistent with intuition:

- insertion of intra-cluster edge strengthens cluster
- deletion of inter-cluster edge strengthens disjunction







Görke, Wagner, Wagner



Dynamic Clustering

Basics

Typical Clustering Dynamics

Consistent with intuition:

- insertion of intra-cluster edge strengthens cluster
- deletion of inter-cluster edge strengthens disjunction

Contrary to intuition:



⇒ → 크네님





Basics Example

Typical Clustering Dynamics

Consistent with intuition:

- insertion of intra-cluster edge strengthens cluster
- deletion of inter-cluster edge strengthens disjunction

Contrary to intuition:

• insertion of intra-cluster edge can cause splitting of cluster





Görke, Wagner, Wagner



< 문♪ 도(님)

Basics Example

Typical Clustering Dynamics

Consistent with intuition:

- insertion of intra-cluster edge strengthens cluster
- deletion of inter-cluster edge strengthens disjunction

Contrary to intuition:

- insertion of intra-cluster edge can cause splitting of cluster
- deletion of inter-cluster edge can cause merge of clusters





Görke, Wagner, Wagner



리님

Basics Example

Clustering Issues

• All problems inherited from static clustering

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >





Basics Example

Clustering Issues

- All problems inherited from static clustering
- New problems due to dynamics





Basics Example

Clustering Issues

- All problems inherited from static clustering
- New problems due to dynamics
 - Can we calculate the exact update?

□
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○



Görke, Wagner, Wagner

Basics Example

Clustering Issues

- All problems inherited from static clustering
- New problems due to dynamics
 - Can we calculate the exact update?
 - Complexity?



Basics Example

Clustering Issues

- All problems inherited from static clustering
- New problems due to dynamics
 - Can we calculate the exact update?
 - Complexity?
 - Are there good approximations?





Basics Example

Clustering Issues

- All problems inherited from static clustering
- New problems due to dynamics
 - Can we calculate the exact update?
 - Complexity?
 - Are there good approximations?
 - Distance: approximation ↔ reclustering?

●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●



Basics Example

Update of a Clustering Running Time

Example (Simple Algorithm)

Clustering algorithm A: connected components $\hat{=}$ clusters



- E | 도







Basics Example

Update of a Clustering Running Time

Example (Simple Algorithm)

Clustering algorithm A: connected components $\hat{=}$ clusters Full run: O(m + n)

□
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □
 □







Dynamic Clustering

Example

Update of a Clustering **Running Time**

Example (Simple Algorithm)

Clustering algorithm A: connected components $\hat{=}$ clusters Full run: O(m+n)Complexity of updates:







Basics Example

Update of a Clustering Running Time

Example (Simple Algorithm)

Clustering algorithm A: connected components $\hat{=}$ clusters Full run: O(m + n)Complexity of updates:

• Edge deletion: $O(\sqrt{n})$

</l>
< □





Basics Example

Update of a Clustering Running Time

Example (Simple Algorithm)

Clustering algorithm A: connected components $\hat{=}$ clusters Full run: O(m + n)Complexity of updates:

- Edge deletion: $O(\sqrt{n})$
- Edge insertion: $O(\sqrt{n})$

</l>
< □





Basics Example

Update of a Clustering Running Time

Example (Simple Algorithm)

Clustering algorithm A: connected components $\hat{=}$ clusters Full run: O(m + n)Complexity of updates:

- Edge deletion: $O(\sqrt{n})$
- Edge insertion: $O(\sqrt{n})$

Most clustering criterions are higly non-trivial!

</l>
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)
(□)





Comparing Clusterings

Overview

Similarity measures for clusterings

Existing similarity / distance measures can be divided into 3 groups:

- measures based on counting pairs
- 2 measures based on set cardinality
- measures based on mutual information 3





Overview Types of measures

Counting Pairs

 Count the number of node pairs that are grouped in the same way by both clusterings

◆□>◆□>◆□>◆□>◆□>◆□>





Overview Types of measures

Counting Pairs

- Count the number of node pairs that are grouped in the same way by both clusterings
- Example: Rand's index (Rand, 1971)

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{C}')=\frac{2(n_{11}+n_{00})}{n(n-1)}$$

where n_{11} = # pairs in the same cluster under both, C and C' n_{00} = # pairs in different clusters under C and C'





Overview Types of measures

Counting Pairs

- Count the number of node pairs that are grouped in the same way by both clusterings
- Example: Rand's index (Rand, 1971)

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{C}')=\frac{2(n_{11}+n_{00})}{n(n-1)}$$

where n_{11} = # pairs in the same cluster under both, C and C' n_{00} = # pairs in different clusters under C and C'

• Problem:
$$\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}') \rightarrow 1$$
 for $k \rightarrow n$



<日→ <注→ <注→ 王□=

Overview Types of measures

Set Cardinality

• Find a "best match" for each cluster and add up the contributions of the matches

◆□→
◆□→
◆□→
◆□→
◆□→
◆□→
◆□→
◆□→
◆□→
◆□→
◆□→





Overview Types of measures

Set Cardinality

- Find a "best match" for each cluster and add up the contributions of the matches
- Example: Van Dongen (2000):

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{C}') = 2n - \sum_{i=1}^{k} \max_{j} n_{ij} - \sum_{j=1}^{k'} \max_{i} n_{ij}$$

where $n_{ij} = |C_i \cap C'_j|$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, $j = 1, \dots, k'$



Görke, Wagner, Wagner

Overview Types of measures

Set Cardinality

- Find a "best match" for each cluster and add up the contributions of the matches
- Example: Van Dongen (2000):

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{C}') = 2n - \sum_{i=1}^{k} \max_{j} n_{ij} - \sum_{j=1}^{k'} \max_{i} n_{ij}$$

where $n_{ij} = |C_i \cap C'_j|$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, $j = 1, \dots, k'$

- Drawbacks:
 - Depending on n
 - Ignores what happens in unmatched part of the clusters




Overview Types of measures

Mutual Information

• Derived from information theory

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >





Overview Types of measures

Mutual Information

- Derived from information theory
- Entropy of of a clustering:

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}) = -\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{n_i}{n} \log \frac{n_i}{n}$$

Pick node randomly, uncertainty which cluster it is in?



Görke, Wagner, Wagner

Overview Types of measures

Mutual Information

- Derived from information theory
- Entropy of of a clustering:

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}) = -\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{n_i}{n} \log \frac{n_i}{n}$$

Pick node randomly, uncertainty which cluster it is in?

 Mutual information I(C, C'): Knowing cluster C_i of node in clustering C, reduction of uncertainty about cluster in C'.







Overview Types of measures

Mutual Information

- Derived from information theory
- Entropy of of a clustering:

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}) = -\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{n_i}{n} \log \frac{n_i}{n}$$

Pick node randomly, uncertainty which cluster it is in?

- Mutual information I(C, C'): Knowing cluster C_i of node in clustering C, reduction of uncertainty about cluster in C'.
- Variation of Information (Meila, 2002):
 VI(C,C') = H(C) + H(C') 2I(C,C')
 Information we lose, going from C to C' plus extra information we have to gain (geometric difference)



리님

Research Areas

• $\overline{QF}_1 = QF - E[QF]$ $\overline{QF}_2 = \frac{QF}{E[QF]}$

< □ > < @ > < 문 > < 문 > < 문 =



Görke, Wagner, Wagner



- $\overline{QF}_1 = QF E[QF]$ $\overline{QF}_2 = \frac{QF}{E[QF]}$
- Formalizing clustering





- $\overline{QF}_1 = QF E[QF]$ $\overline{QF}_2 = \frac{QF}{E[QF]}$
- Formalizing clustering
- Quality \leftarrow Silimarity

< □
 < □
 < □
 < □
 < □
 < □
 < □





- $\overline{QF}_1 = QF E[QF]$ $\overline{QF}_2 = \frac{QF}{E[QF]}$
- Formalizing clustering
- Quality \leftarrow Silimarity
- Map classes of graphs to suitable clustering techniques
 - Find global optimum in polynomial time
 - Find criterion that fits certain classes



4 E b





Thank you!

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >



Universität Karlsruhe (TH) Faculty of Informatics

Görke, Wagner, Wagner



Algorithmics http://i11www.ira.uka.de



Thank you!

Questions?

< 다 > < 급 > < 분 > < 분 > < 분 >



Universität Karlsruhe (TH) Faculty of Informatics

Görke, Wagner, Wagner



Algorithmics http://i11www.ira.uka.de