## Efficient Traffic Assignment for Public Transit Networks

## Lars Briem, Sebastian Buck, Holger Ebhart, Nicolai Mallig, Ben Strasser,

 Peter Vortisch, Dorothea Wagner, and Tobias ZündorfSEA • July 22nd, 2017

INSTITUTE OF THEORETICAL INFORMATICS • ALGORITHMICS GROUP


KIT - University of the State of Baden-Wuertemberg and
National Laboratory of the Helmholtz Association
WWWkit,edu

## Overview

## Introduction:

- Public Transit Network
- Demand
- Problem Statement


## Our Algorithm:

- Perceived Arrival Time
- Assignment
- Decision Model


## Evaluation:

- Performance
- Result Quality


## Public Transit Network

Timetable components:

- A set of stops $\mathcal{S}$ (stops, platforms)
- A set of connections $\mathcal{C}$
- A set of trips $\mathcal{T}$ (minimum change times, walking)
- A set of transfer edges $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}$ (vehicles)
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## Public Transit Network

Timetable components:

- A set of stops $\mathcal{S}$ (stops, platforms)
- A set of connections $\mathcal{C}$
- A set of trips $\mathcal{T}$ (minimum change times, walking)
- A set of transfer edges $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}$ (vehicles)

Transfer graph:

- Describes possible transfers between stops


0 min

## Demand

## Definition:

- Demand is a list of passengers, each with:
- An origin stop
- A destination stop
- A desired departure time


## Example:

| Origin | Destination | Departure Time |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Paddington | King's Cross | $8: 00 \mathrm{am}$ |
| King's Cross | Temple | $9: 00 \mathrm{am}$ |
| Paddington | Embankment | $9: 30 \mathrm{am}$ |
| Piccadilly Circus | Westminster | $9: 30 \mathrm{am}$ |

## Problem Statement: Assignment

## Given:

- A public transit network (timetable \& transfer graph)
- Demand


## Problem:

- Compute the utilization of every vehicle, at every given time
- Assign all passengers to journeys
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## Problem:

- Compute the utilization of every vehicle, at every given time
- Assign all passengers to journeys


## Note:

- A passenger may be assigned proportionally to multiple journeys
- Assigned journeys are not necessarily optimal


## Perceived Arrival Time (PAT)

## Purpose:

- Associated with a connection $c$ and a specific destination $d$
- Measures how useful $c$ is for reaching $d$
- Depends on four parameters:
- Cost for changing between vehicles $\lambda_{\text {trans }}$
- Cost factor for waiting $\lambda_{\text {wait }}$
- Cost factor for walking $\lambda_{\text {walk }}$
- The maximum delay of a connection $\Delta_{\tau}^{\max }$


## Assumption:

- Passengers try to optimize their PAT


## Perceived Arrival Time (PAT)

## Formal definition:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{\mathrm{arr}}^{\mathrm{p}}\left(c, c^{\prime}, d\right):=\tau_{\text {trans }}^{\mathrm{p}}\left(c, c^{\prime}\right)+\tau_{\text {wait }}^{\mathrm{p}}\left(c, c^{\prime}\right)+\tau_{\mathrm{arr}}^{\mathrm{p}}\left(c^{\prime}, d\right) \\
& \tau_{\text {arr }}^{\mathrm{p}}(c, d \mid \text { walk }):= \begin{cases}\tau_{\operatorname{arr}}(c) & \text { if } v_{\operatorname{arr}}(c)=d \\
\tau_{\operatorname{arr}}(c)+\lambda_{\text {walk }} \cdot \tau_{\text {trans }}\left(v_{\text {arr }}(c), d\right) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& \mathcal{T}(c):=\left\{c^{\prime} \in \mathcal{C} \mid \operatorname{trip}\left(c^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{trip}(c) \wedge \tau_{\operatorname{dep}}\left(c^{\prime}\right) \geq \tau_{\operatorname{arr}}(c)\right\} \\
& \tau_{\text {arr }}^{\mathrm{p}}(c, d \mid \text { trip }):= \begin{cases}\min \left\{\tau_{\mathrm{arr}}^{\mathrm{p}}\left(c^{\prime}, d\right) \mid c^{\prime} \in \mathcal{T}(c)\right\} & \text { if } \mathcal{T}(c) \neq \emptyset \\
\infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& \tau_{\mathrm{arr}}^{\mathrm{p}}\left(c, c^{\prime}, d\right):=\tau_{\text {trans }}^{\mathrm{p}}\left(c, c^{\prime}\right)+\tau_{\text {wait }}^{\mathrm{p}}\left(c, c^{\prime}\right)+\tau_{\mathrm{arr}}^{\mathrm{p}}\left(c^{\prime}, d\right) \\
& \mathcal{R}(c):=\left\{c^{\prime} \in \mathcal{C} \mid \tau_{\text {wait }}\left(c, c^{\prime}\right) \geq 0\right\} \\
& \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{opt}}(c):=\left\{c^{\prime} \in \mathcal{R}(c) \mid \forall \bar{c} \in \mathcal{R}(c): \tau_{\text {wait }}(c, \bar{c}) \geq \tau_{\text {wait }}\left(c, c^{\prime}\right) \Rightarrow \tau_{\mathrm{arr}}^{\mathrm{p}}(c, \bar{c}, d) \geq \tau_{\mathrm{arr}}^{\mathrm{p}}\left(c, c^{\prime}, d\right)\right\} \\
& \left\langle c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k}\right\rangle \text { with } \forall i \in[1, k]: c_{i} \in \mathcal{R}_{\text {opt }}(c) \wedge \forall i \in[2, k]: \tau_{\text {wait }}\left(c, c_{j}\right) \geq \tau_{\text {wait }}\left(c, c_{i-1}\right) \\
& \tau_{\text {wait }}^{c}(i):= \begin{cases}\tau_{\text {wait }}\left(c, c_{i}\right) & \text { if } i \in[1, k] \\
-\infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& \tau_{\text {arr }}^{\mathrm{p}}(c, d \mid \text { trans }):= \begin{cases}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\frac{P\left[\tau_{\text {wait }}^{c}(i-1)<\Delta_{\tau}^{c} \leq \tau_{\text {wait }}^{c}(i)\right]}{P\left[\Delta_{\tau}^{c} \leq \tau_{\text {wait }}^{c}(k)\right]} \cdot \tau_{\text {arr }}^{\mathrm{p}}\left(c, c_{i}, d\right)\right) & \text { if } k>0 \\
\infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Perceived Arrival Time (PAT)

## Example:

- $\lambda_{\text {walk }}=3, \quad \lambda_{\text {wait }}=2, \quad \lambda_{\text {trans }}=5 \mathrm{~min}$

| Connection PAT |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $c_{4}$ |  |
| $c_{3}$ |  |
| $c_{2}$ |  |
| $c_{1}$ |  |
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## Perceived Arrival Time (PAT)

## Example:

- $\lambda_{\text {walk }}=3, \quad \lambda_{\text {wait }}=2, \lambda_{\text {trans }}=5 \mathrm{~min}$
- Case 2: Walk from connection $c$ to destination
$\Rightarrow$ PAT $=\tau_{\text {arr }}(c)+\left(\lambda_{\text {walk }} \cdot \tau_{\text {walking }}\right)$
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| :--- | :--- |
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| $C_{3}$ | $11: 10$ |
| $C_{2}$ |  |
| $c_{1}$ |  |
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## Example:
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## Perceived Arrival Time (PAT)

## Example:

- $\lambda_{\text {walk }}=3, \quad \lambda_{\text {wait }}=2, \lambda_{\text {trans }}=5 \mathrm{~min}$
- Case 4: Continue with some option $o_{i}$
$\Rightarrow$ PAT $=\sum_{i}\left(\right.$ transfer probability $\left.\left(o_{i}\right) \cdot o_{i}\right)$

| Connection | PAT |
| :---: | ---: |
| $c_{4}$ | $11: 00$ |
| $c_{3}$ | $11: 10$ |
| $c_{2}$ | $11: 00$ |

$$
P[1] \cdot o_{1}+(P[2]-P[1]) \cdot o_{2} \quad o_{1}: 11: 00+5+3 \cdot 5+2 \cdot 5=11: 30
$$



## Perceived Arrival Time (PAT)

## Example:

- $\lambda_{\text {walk }}=3, \quad \lambda_{\text {wait }}=2, \quad \lambda_{\text {trans }}=5 \mathrm{~min}$
- Case 4: Continue with some option $o_{i}$
$\Rightarrow$ PAT $=\sum_{i}\left(\right.$ transfer probability $\left.\left(o_{i}\right) \cdot o_{i}\right)$

| Connection | PAT |
| :--- | :--- |
| $C_{4}$ | $11: 00$ |
| $C_{3}$ | $11: 10$ |
| $c_{2}$ | $11: 00$ |
| $c_{1}$ | $12: 10$ |



## Our Algorithm

## Concept:

- Simulate passengers movement through the network
- Decide per connection $c$, which passengers use $c$
- Passengers with same destination meet
$\Rightarrow$ Have to make the same decisions
$\Rightarrow$ Algorithm can benefit from synergy effects
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## Concept:
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## Overview:

- Sort passengers by destination
- Compute assignment for each destination in 3 steps:
- Compute PATs for every connection
- Simulate passenger movement based on PATs
- Remove unwanted cycles from journeys (optional)


## Assignment Computation - Example

- Process connections in ascending order by departure time
- Decide whether passengers use a connection or not

Time: 0:00
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## Assignment Computation - Example

- Process connections in ascending order by departure time
- Decide whether passengers use a connection or not

3. ...

Time: 9:40


## Assignment Computation - Decision Graph



## Decision Model

## Purpose:

- Determines which connections a passenger takes
- Depends on the passenger's delay tolerance $\lambda_{\Delta \max }$
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## Definition:

- Given the options $o_{1}, \ldots, o_{k}$ and their PATs
- Assign a gain $g(i)$ to every option:

$$
g(i):=\max \left(0, \min _{j \neq i}\left(P A T\left(o_{j}\right)\right)-P A T\left(o_{i}\right)+\lambda_{\Delta \max }\right)
$$

- The probability $P[i]$ that a passenger chooses option $i$ is:

$$
P[i]:=\frac{g(i)}{\sum_{j=1}^{k} g(j)}
$$

## Cycles

## Cycle definition:

- Visiting a stop more than once
- Assigning cycles might be undesirable
- Journey with cycle can have minimum PAT
- High waiting cost leads to cycles
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## Evaluation

## Instance:

- Greater region of Stuttgart
- Reaching as far as Frankfurt, Basel or Munich
- Comprises the traffic of one day

| Number of vertices | 15115 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number of stops | 13941 |
| Number of edges | 33890 |
| Number of edges without loops | 18775 |
| Number of connections | 780042 |
| Number of trips | 47844 |
| Number of passenger | 1249910 |

## Evaluation - Running Time

## Used parameters:

- Walking cost factor $\lambda_{\text {walk }}=2$
- Waiting cost factor $\lambda_{\text {wait }}=0.5$
- Transfer cost $\lambda_{\text {trans }}=5 \mathrm{~min}$
- Delay tolerance $\lambda_{\Delta \max }=5 \mathrm{~min}$
- Max delay $\Delta_{\tau}^{\max }=1 \mathrm{~min}$


## Running time comparison:

- VISUM running time $\approx 30 \mathrm{~min}$ (using 8 threads)
- Our algorithm: (passenger multiplier = 10)

| Number of threads | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Running time [sec] | 108.92 | 65.57 | 38.41 |
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- Transfer cost $\lambda_{\text {trans }}=5 \mathrm{~min}$
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No measurable influence on the running time

## Running time comparison:

- VISUM running time $\approx 30 \mathrm{~min}$ (using 8 threads)
- Our algorithm: (passenger multiplier = 10)

| Number of threads | 1 | 2 | 4 |
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| Running time [sec] | 108.92 | 65.57 | 38.41 |
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## Evaluation - Assignment Quality

- Both assignments look similar
- VISUM produces a slightly lower travel time
- Our algorithm produces a slightly lower number of trips

|  | VISUM |  |  |  |  | Our Algorithm |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Quantity | $\min$ | mean | $\max$ |  | $\min$ | $\operatorname{mean}$ | $\max$ |  |
| Total travel time [min] | 2.98 | 46.885 | 429.00 |  | 2.98 | 47.199 | 429.00 |  |
| Time spent in vehicle [min] | 0.02 | 21.059 | 380.00 |  | 0.02 | 21.231 | 323.97 |  |
| Time spent walking [min] | 2.00 | 22.394 | 149.00 |  | 2.00 | 22.476 | 149.00 |  |
| Time spent waiting [min] | 0.00 | 3.432 | 217.02 |  | 0.00 | 3.492 | 217.02 |  |
| Trips per passenger | 1.00 | 1.771 | 6.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.746 | 8.00 |  |
| Connections per passenger | 1.00 | 9.396 | 109.00 |  | 1.00 | 9.474 | 97.00 |  |
| Passengers per connection | 0.00 | 12.740 | 1290.10 |  | 0.00 | 12.847 | 1233.60 |  |
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## Thank you for your attention!
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