Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

Algorithms for graph visualization

Layouts for planar graphs. Shift method.

WINTER SEMESTER 2013/2014

Tamara Mchedlidze – MARTIN NÖLLENBURG



History

- Straight line drawing of a planar graph



History

- Straight line drawing of a planar graph





History

- Straight line drawing of a planar graph



Theorem [Wagner '36, Fary '48, Stein '51]

Every planar graph has a planar straight-line drawing.

History

- Straight line drawing of a planar graph





Theorem [Wagner '36, Fary '48, Stein '51]

Every planar graph has a planar straight-line drawing.

- These algorithms produce drawings with area **not bounded** by any polynomial on n.

Next

This lecture:

Theorem [De Fraysseix, Pach, Pollack '90]

Every n-vertex planar graph has a planar straight-line drawing of a size $(2n-4) \times (n-2)$.

Next lecture:

Theorem [Schnyder '90]

Every n-vertex planar graph has a planar straight-line drawing of a size $(n-2) \times (n-2)$.

Canonical Ordering

Definition: Canonical Ordering

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a triangulated planar embedded graph of $n \geq 3$ vertices. An ordering $\pi = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n)$ is called a canonical ordering, if the following conditions hold for each k, $3 \leq k \leq n$.

- (C1) Vertices $\{v_1, \ldots v_k\}$ induce a 2-connected internally triangulated graph, call it G_k

Canonical Ordering

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

Definition: Canonical Ordering

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a triangulated planar embedded graph of $n \geq 3$ vertices. An ordering $\pi = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n)$ is called a canonical ordering, if the following conditions hold for each k, $3 \leq k \leq n$.

- (C1) Vertices $\{v_1, \ldots v_k\}$ induce a 2-connected internally triangulated graph, call it G_k
- (C2) Edge (v_1, v_2) belongs to the outer face of G_k

Canonical Ordering

Definition: Canonical Ordering

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a triangulated planar embedded graph of $n \geq 3$ vertices. An ordering $\pi = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n)$ is called a canonical ordering, if the following conditions hold for each k, $3 \leq k \leq n$.

- (C1) Vertices $\{v_1, \ldots v_k\}$ induce a 2-connected internally triangulated graph, call it G_k
- (C2) Edge (v_1, v_2) belongs to the outer face of G_k
- (C3) If $k < n$ then vertex v_{k+1} lies in the outer face of G_k, and all neighbors of v_{k+1} in G_k appear on the boundary of G_k consecutively.

Example of Canonical Ordering



Example of Canonical Ordering



Example of Canonical Ordering



Example of Canonical Ordering



Example of Canonical Ordering



Example of Canonical Ordering



Example of Canonical Ordering



Example of Canonical Ordering

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology



Example of Canonical Ordering



Example of Canonical Ordering



Example of Canonical Ordering



Example of Canonical Ordering



Example of Canonical Ordering



Canonical Ordering Existence

Lemma

Every triangulated plane graph has a canonical ordering.

- Let $G_n = G$, and let v_1, v_2, v_n be the vertices of the outer face of G_n. Conditions C1-C3 hold.

Canonical Ordering Existence

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

Lemma

Every triangulated plane graph has a canonical ordering.

- Let $G_n = G$, and let v_1, v_2, v_n be the vertices of the outer face of G_n. Conditions C1-C3 hold.
- Induction hypothesis: vertices $v_{n-1}, \ldots, v_{k+1}$ have been chosen such that conditions C1-C3 hold for $k+1 \leq i \leq n$.

Canonical Ordering Existence

Lemma

Every triangulated plane graph has a canonical ordering.

- Let $G_n = G$, and let v_1, v_2, v_n be the vertices of the outer face of G_n. Conditions C1-C3 hold.
- Induction hypothesis: vertices $v_{n-1}, \ldots, v_{k+1}$ have been chosen such that conditions C1-C3 hold for $k+1 \leq i \leq n$.
- Consider G_k. We search for v_k.



Canonical Ordering Existence

Lemma

Every triangulated plane graph has a canonical ordering.

- Let $G_n = G$, and let v_1, v_2, v_n be the vertices of the outer face of G_n. Conditions C1-C3 hold.
- Induction hypothesis: vertices $v_{n-1}, \ldots, v_{k+1}$ have been chosen such that conditions C1-C3 hold for $k + 1 \leq i \leq n$.
- Consider G_k. We search for v_k.



Canonical Ordering Existence

Lemma

Every triangulated plane graph has a canonical ordering.

- Let $G_n = G$, and let v_1, v_2, v_n be the vertices of the outer face of G_n. Conditions C1-C3 hold.
- Induction hypothesis: vertices $v_{n-1}, \ldots, v_{k+1}$ have been chosen such that conditions C1-C3 hold for $k+1 \leq i \leq n$.
- Consider G_k. We search for v_k.



v_k should not be adjacent to a chord

Canonical Ordering Existence

Lemma

Every triangulated plane graph has a canonical ordering.

- Let $G_n = G$, and let v_1, v_2, v_n be the vertices of the outer face of G_n. Conditions C1-C3 hold.
- Induction hypothesis: vertices $v_{n-1}, \ldots, v_{k+1}$ have been chosen such that conditions C1-C3 hold for $k+1 \leq i \leq n$.
- Consider G_k. We search for v_k.





v_k should not be adjacent to a chord

Is it sufficient?

Canonical Ordering Existence

Statement If v_k is not adjacent to a chord then removal of v_k leaves the graph biconnected.



Canonical Ordering Existence

Statement If v_k is not adjacent to a chord then removal of v_k leaves the graph biconnected.



Canonical Ordering Existence

Statement If v_k is not adjacent to a chord then removal of v_k leaves the graph biconnected.



Canonical Ordering Existence

Statement If v_k is not adjacent to a chord then removal of v_k leaves the graph biconnected.



Canonical Ordering Existence

Statement If v_k is not adjacent to a chord then removal of v_k leaves the graph biconnected.



Canonical Ordering Existence

Statement If v_k is not adjacent to a chord then removal of v_k leaves the graph biconnected.



Canonical Ordering Existence

Statement If v_k is not adjacent to a chord then removal of v_k leaves the graph biconnected.

Contradiction to the fact that the edges are consecutive!



Canonical Ordering Existence

- Why a vertex not adjacent to a chord exists?



Canonical Ordering Existence

- Why a vertex not adjacent to a chord exists?



Computing Canonical Ordering

Algorithm CO

forall the $v \in V$ **do**
  chords(v) $\leftarrow$ 0; out(v) $\leftarrow$ false; mark(v) $\leftarrow$ false;

out(v_1), out(v_2), out(v_n) $\leftarrow$ true;
for $k = n$ **to** 3 **do**
  choose $v \neq v_1, v_2$ such that mark(v) = false, out(v) = true,
    chords(v) = 0;
  $v_k \leftarrow v$; mark(v) $\leftarrow$ true;
  // Let $w_1 = v_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{t-1}, w_t = v_2$ denote the boundary of G_{k-1};
  and let $w_p, \ldots, w_q$ be the unmarked neighbors v_k;
  out(w_i) $\leftarrow$ true for all $p < i < q$;
  update number of chords for w_i and its neighbors;

Computing Canonical Ordering

Algorithm CO

forall the $v \in V$ **do**
    chords(v) $\leftarrow$ 0; out(v) $\leftarrow$ false; mark(v) $\leftarrow$ false;

out(v_1), out(v_2), out(v_n) $\leftarrow$ true;
for $k = n$ **to** 3 **do**
    choose $v \neq v_1, v_2$ such that mark(v) = false, out(v) = true,
        chords(v) = 0;
    $v_k \leftarrow v$; mark(v) $\leftarrow$ true;
    // Let $w_1 = v_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{t-1}, w_t = v_2$ denote the boundary of G_{k-1}; and let $w_p, \ldots, w_q$ be the unmarked neighbors v_k;
    out(w_i) $\leftarrow$ true for all $p < i < q$;
    update number of chords for w_i and its neighbors;

- chord(v) - number of chords adjacent to v
- mark(v) = true iff vertex v was numbered
- out(v)=true iff v is the outer vertex of current plane graph

Computing Canonical Ordering

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

Algorithm CO

forall the $v \in V$ **do**
  chords(v) $\leftarrow$ 0; out(v) $\leftarrow$ false; mark(v) $\leftarrow$ false;

out(v_1), out(v_2), out(v_n) $\leftarrow$ true;
for $k = n$ **to** 3 **do**
  choose $v \neq v_1, v_2$ such that mark(v) = false, out(v) = true,
    chords(v) = 0;
  $v_k \leftarrow v$; mark(v) $\leftarrow$ true;
  // Let $w_1 = v_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{t-1}, w_t = v_2$ denote the boundary of G_{k-1};
  and let $w_p, \ldots, w_q$ be the unmarked neighbors v_k;
  out(w_i) $\leftarrow$ true for all $p < i < q$;
  update number of chords for w_i and its neighbors;

Lemma

Algorithm CO computes a canonical ordering of a graph in $O(n)$ time.

De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm



De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm



Algorithm constraints: G_{k-1} is drawn such that

- v_1 is on $(0, 0)$, v_2 is on $(2k - 6, 0)$
- Boundary of G_{k-1} (minus edge (v_1, v_2)) is drawn x-monotone
- Each edge of the boundary of G_{k-1} (minus edge (v_1, v_2)) is drawn with slopes ± 1



De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology



Algorithm constraints: G_{k-1} is drawn such that

- v_1 is on $(0,0)$, v_2 is on $(2k-6,0)$
- Boundary of G_{k-1} (minus edge (v_1, v_2)) is drawn x-monotone
- Each edge of the boundary of G_{k-1} (minus edge (v_1, v_2)) is drawn with slopes ± 1



De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm



Algorithm constraints: G_{k-1} is drawn such that

- v_1 is on $(0,0)$, v_2 is on $(2k-6,0)$
- Boundary of G_{k-1} (minus edge (v_1,v_2)) is drawn x-monotone
- Each edge of the boundary of G_{k-1} (minus edge (v_1,v_2)) is drawn with slopes ± 1



De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm



Algorithm constraints: G_{k-1} is drawn such that

- v_1 is on $(0,0)$, v_2 is on $(2k-6,0)$
- Boundary of G_{k-1} (minus edge (v_1,v_2)) is drawn x-monotone
- Each edge of the boundary of G_{k-1} (minus edge (v_1,v_2)) is drawn with slopes ± 1



De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology



Algorithm constraints: G_{k-1} is drawn such that

- v_1 is on $(0,0)$, v_2 is on $(2k-6,0)$
- Boundary of G_{k-1} (minus edge (v_1, v_2)) is drawn x-monotone
- Each edge of the boundary of G_{k-1} (minus edge (v_1, v_2)) is drawn with slopes ± 1



De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology



Algorithm constraints: G_{k-1} is drawn such that

- v_1 is on $(0,0)$, v_2 is on $(2k-6,0)$
- Boundary of G_{k-1} (minus edge (v_1,v_2)) is drawn x-monotone
- Each edge of the boundary of G_{k-1} (minus edge (v_1,v_2)) is drawn with slopes ± 1



De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology



Algorithm constraints: G_{k-1} is drawn such that

- v_1 is on $(0, 0)$, v_2 is on $(2k - 6, 0)$
- Boundary of G_{k-1} (minus edge (v_1, v_2)) is drawn x-monotone
- Each edge of the boundary of G_{k-1} (minus edge (v_1, v_2)) is drawn with slopes ± 1



De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm



Algorithm constraints: G_{k-1} is drawn such that

- v_1 is on $(0,0)$, v_2 is on $(2k-6,0)$
- Boundary of G_{k-1} (minus edge (v_1,v_2)) is drawn x-monotone
- Each edge of the boundary of G_{k-1} (minus edge (v_1,v_2)) is drawn with slopes ± 1



De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm



Algorithm constraints: G_{k-1} is drawn such that

- v_1 is on $(0,0)$, v_2 is on $(2k-6,0)$
- Boundary of G_{k-1} (minus edge (v_1, v_2)) is drawn x-monotone
- Each edge of the boundary of G_{k-1} (minus edge (v_1, v_2)) is drawn with slopes ± 1



De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm



Algorithm constraints: G_{k-1} is drawn such that

- v_1 is on $(0,0)$, v_2 is on $(2k-6,0)$
- Boundary of G_{k-1} (minus edge (v_1,v_2)) is drawn x-monotone
- Each edge of the boundary of G_{k-1} (minus edge (v_1,v_2)) is drawn with slopes ± 1



De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm



Algorithm constraints: G_{k-1} is drawn such that

- v_1 is on $(0,0)$, v_2 is on $(2k-6,0)$
- Boundary of G_{k-1} (minus edge (v_1,v_2)) is drawn x-monotone
- Each edge of the boundary of G_{k-1} (minus edge (v_1,v_2)) is drawn with slopes ± 1



De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm





De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm







De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm







De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm









Institut für Theoretische Informatik
Lehrstuhl Algorithmik I

De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm







De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm









De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm









De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm









De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm







De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm









De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm









De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm









De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm









De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm







De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm









De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm









Institut für Theoretische Informatik
Lehrstuhl Algorithmik I

De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm







De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm







De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm









De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm







De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm









De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm



De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm



De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm



- Each internal vertex is covered exactly once
- Coverence relation defines a tree in G
- But a forest in G_i, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$

De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm



- Each internal vertex is covered exactly once
- Coverence relation defines a tree in G
- But a forest in G_i, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$

De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm



- Each internal vertex is covered exactly once
- Coverence relation defines a tree in G
- But a forest in G_i, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$

De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm



- Each internal vertex is covered exactly once
- Coverence relation defines a tree in G
- But a forest in G_i, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$

De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm



- Each internal vertex is covered exactly once
- Coverence relation defines a tree in G
- But a forest in G_i, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$

De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm



- Each internal vertex is covered exactly once
- Coverence relation defines a tree in G
- But a forest in G_i, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$

Lemma

Let $0 < \delta_1 \leq \delta_2 \leq \cdots \leq \delta_t \in \mathbb{N}$. If we shift $L(w_i)$ by δ_i to the right, we get a planar straight line drawing.

De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm

Lemma

Let $0 < \delta_1 \leq \delta_2 \leq \cdots \leq \delta_t \in \mathbb{N}$. If we shift $L(w_i)$ by δ_i to the right, we get a planar straight line drawing.

De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm

KIT Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

Lemma

Let $0 < \delta_1 \leq \delta_2 \leq \cdots \leq \delta_t \in \mathbb{N}$. If we shift $L(w_i)$ by δ_i to the right, we get a planar straight line drawing.

Proof

- The proof is by induction on i, i.e. we consider $G_3, \ldots, G_n$.

De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

Lemma

Let $0 < \delta_1 \leq \delta_2 \leq \cdots \leq \delta_t \in \mathbb{N}$. If we shift $L(w_i)$ by δ_i to the right, we get a planar straight line drawing.

Proof

- The proof is by induction on i, i.e. we consider $G_3, \ldots, G_n$.
- Assume that this is true for G_{k-1}.

De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

Lemma

Let $0 < \delta_1 \leq \delta_2 \leq \cdots \leq \delta_t \in \mathbb{N}$. If we shift $L(w_i)$ by δ_i to the right, we get a planar straight line drawing.

Proof

- The proof is by induction on i, i.e. we consider $G_3, \ldots, G_n$.
- Assume that this is true for G_{k-1}.
- Let $w_1, \ldots, w_p, v_k, w_q, \ldots, w_t$ be the boundary of G_k.

De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

Lemma

Let $0 < \delta_1 \leq \delta_2 \leq \cdots \leq \delta_t \in \mathbb{N}$. If we shift $L(w_i)$ by δ_i to the right, we get a planar straight line drawing.

Proof

- The proof is by induction on i, i.e. we consider $G_3, \ldots, G_n$.
- Assume that this is true for G_{k-1}.
- Let $w_1, \ldots, w_p, v_k, w_q, \ldots, w_t$ be the boundary of G_k.
- Let $\delta_1 \leq \cdots \leq \delta_p \leq \delta \leq \delta_q \leq \cdots \leq \delta_t$.

De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

Lemma

Let $0 < \delta_1 \leq \delta_2 \leq \cdots \leq \delta_t \in \mathbb{N}$. If we shift $L(w_i)$ by δ_i to the right, we get a planar straight line drawing.

Proof

- The proof is by induction on i, i.e. we consider $G_3, \ldots, G_n$.
- Assume that this is true for G_{k-1}.
- Let $w_1, \ldots, w_p, v_k, w_q, \ldots, w_t$ be the boundary of G_k.
- Let $\delta_1 \leq \cdots \leq \delta_p \leq \delta \leq \delta_q \leq \cdots \leq \delta_t$.
- We set $\delta'_i = \delta_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$,
- $\delta'_i = \delta$ for $p + 1 \leq i \leq q - 1$ (for the neighbors of v_k)
- $\delta'_i = \delta_i$ for $q \leq i \leq t$.

De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

Lemma

Let $0 < \delta_1 \leq \delta_2 \leq \cdots \leq \delta_t \in \mathbb{N}$. If we shift $L(w_i)$ by δ_i to the right, we get a planar straight line drawing.

Proof

- The proof is by induction on i, i.e. we consider $G_3, \ldots, G_n$.
- Assume that this is true for G_{k-1}.
- Let $w_1, \ldots, w_p, v_k, w_q, \ldots, w_t$ be the boundary of G_k.
- Let $\delta_1 \leq \cdots \leq \delta_p \leq \delta \leq \delta_q \leq \cdots \leq \delta_t$.
- We set $\delta'_i = \delta_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$,
- $\delta'_i = \delta$ for $p + 1 \leq i \leq q - 1$ (for the neighbors of v_k)
- $\delta'_i = \delta_i$ for $q \leq i \leq t$.
- By induction hypothesis we can move $w_1 \ldots, w_t$ by $\delta'_1 \ldots \delta'_t$, respectively.

De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm

Lemma

Let $0 < \delta_1 \leq \delta_2 \leq \cdots \leq \delta_t \in \mathbb{N}$. If we shift $L(w_i)$ by δ_i to the right, we get a planar straight line drawing.

Proof

- The proof is by induction on i, i.e. we consider $G_3, \ldots, G_n$.
- Assume that this is true for G_{k-1}.
- Let $w_1, \ldots, w_p, v_k, w_q, \ldots, w_t$ be the boundary of G_k.
- Let $\delta_1 \leq \cdots \leq \delta_p \leq \delta \leq \delta_q \leq \cdots \leq \delta_t$.
- We set $\delta'_i = \delta_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$,
- $\delta'_i = \delta$ for $p + 1 \leq i \leq q - 1$ (for the neighbors of v_k)
- $\delta'_i = \delta_i$ for $q \leq i \leq t$.
- By induction hypothesis we can move $w_1 \ldots, w_t$ by $\delta'_1 \ldots \delta'_t$, respectively.
- We can complete the drawing by placing v_k, v_k is moved with $L(w_{p+1}), \ldots, L(w_{q-1})$ by δ.

De Fraysseix Pach Pollack (Shift) Algorithm

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

Algorithm Shift

Let $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ be a canonical ordering of G

for $i = 1$ **to** n **do**

 $L(v_i) \leftarrow \{v_i\}$;

$P(v_1) \leftarrow (0,0)$; $P(v_2) \leftarrow (2,0)$; $P(v_3) \leftarrow (1,1)$;

for $i = 4$ **to** n **do**

 Let $w_1 = v_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{t-1}, w_t = v_2$ denote the boundary of G_{i-1};
 and let $w_p, \ldots, w_q$ be the neighbors v_i;

 for $\forall v \in \cup_{j=p+1}^{q-1} L(w_j)$ **do**

  $x(v) \leftarrow x(v) + 1$;

 for $\forall v \in \cup_{j=q}^{t} L(w_j)$ **do**

  $x(v) \leftarrow x(v) + 2$;

 $P(v_i) \leftarrow$ intersection of $+1$ and -1 edges from $P(w_p)$ and $P(w_q)$;

 $L(v_i) = \cup_{j=p+1}^{q-1} L(w_j) \cup \{v_i\}$;

Linear Time Implementation of Shift Algorithm



Linear Time Implementation of Shift Algorithm





Linear Time Implementation of Shift Algorithm





- $x(v_k) = \frac{1}{2}(x(w_q) + x(w_p) + y(w_q) - y(w_p))$ (1)
- $y(v_k) = \frac{1}{2}(x(w_q) - x(w_p) + y(w_q) + y(w_p))$ (2)
- $x(v_k) - x(w_p) = \frac{1}{2}(x(w_q) - x(w_p) + y(w_q) - y(w_p))$ (3)

Linear Time Implementation of Shift Algorithm

- If we know the y-coordinates of w_p and w_q and the difference $x(w_p) - x(w_q)$, we can compute the relative distance of v_k and w_p.
- In the binary tree which we construct we keep the relative x-distance of each node from its parent.

Linear Time Implementation of Shift Algorithm

- If we know the y-coordinates of w_p and w_q and the difference $x(w_p) - x(w_q)$, we can compute the relative distance of v_k and w_p.
- In the binary tree which we construct we keep the relative x-distance of each node from its parent.



Linear Time Implementation of Shift Algorithm

- If we know the y-coordinates of w_p and w_q and the difference $x(w_p) - x(w_q)$, we can compute the relative distance of v_k and w_p.
- In the binary tree which we construct we keep the relative x-distance of each node from its parent.
- $\Delta_x(w_p, w_q) = \Delta_x(w_{p+1}) + \cdots + \Delta_x(w_q)$
- Calculate $\Delta_x(v_k)$ by eq. (3)
- Calculate $y(v_k)$ by eq. (2)



Linear Time Implementation of Shift Algorithm

- If we know the y-coordinates of w_p and w_q and the difference $x(w_p) - x(w_q)$, we can compute the relative distance of v_k and w_p.
- In the binary tree which we construct we keep the relative x-distance of each node from its parent.
- $\Delta_x(w_p, w_q) = \Delta_x(w_{p+1}) + \cdots + \Delta_x(w_q)$
- Calculate $\Delta_x(v_k)$ by eq. (3)
- Calculate $y(v_k)$ by eq. (2)



Linear Time Implementation of Shift Algorithm

- If we know the y-coordinates of w_p and w_q and the difference $x(w_p) - x(w_q)$, we can compute the relative distance of v_k and w_p.
- In the binary tree which we construct we keep the relative x-distance of each node from its parent.
- $\Delta_x(w_p, w_q) = \Delta_x(w_{p+1}) + \cdots + \Delta_x(w_q)$
- Calculate $\Delta_x(v_k)$ by eq. (3)
- Calculate $y(v_k)$ by eq. (2)



Linear Time Implementation of Shift Algorithm

- If we know the y-coordinates of w_p and w_q and the difference $x(w_p) - x(w_q)$, we can compute the relative distance of v_k and w_p.
- In the binary tree which we construct we keep the relative x-distance of each node from its parent.
- $\Delta_x(w_p, w_q) = \Delta_x(w_{p+1}) + \cdots + \Delta_x(w_q)$
- Calculate $\Delta_x(v_k)$ by eq. (3)
- Calculate $y(v_k)$ by eq. (2)



Linear Time Implementation of Shift Algorithm

- If we know the y-coordinates of w_p and w_q and the difference $x(w_p) - x(w_q)$, we can compute the relative distance of v_k and w_p.
- In the binary tree which we construct we keep the relative x-distance of each node from its parent.
- $\Delta_x(w_p, w_q) = \Delta_x(w_{p+1}) + \cdots + \Delta_x(w_q)$
- Calculate $\Delta_x(v_k)$ by eq. (3)
- Calculate $y(v_k)$ by eq. (2)



Linear Time Implementation of Shift Algorithm

- If we know the y-coordinates of w_p and w_q and the difference $x(w_p) - x(w_q)$, we can compute the relative distance of v_k and w_p.
- In the binary tree which we construct we keep the relative x-distance of each node from its parent.
- $\Delta_x(w_p, w_q) = \Delta_x(w_{p+1}) + \cdots + \Delta_x(w_q)$
- Calculate $\Delta_x(v_k)$ by eq. (3)
- Calculate $y(v_k)$ by eq. (2)
- $\Delta_x(w_q) = \Delta_x(w_p, w_q) - \Delta_x(v_k)$
- $\Delta_x(w_{p+1}) = \Delta_x(w_{p+1}) - \Delta_x(v_k)$